Microscopic resolution of the interplay of Kondo screening and superconducting pairing: Mn-phthalocyanine molecules adsorbed on superconducting Pb(111)
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Magnetic molecules adsorbed on a superconductor give rise to a local competition of Cooper pair and Kondo singlet formation inducing subgap bound states. For manganese-phthalocyanine molecules on a Pb(111) substrate, scanning tunneling microscopy resolves pairs of subgap bound states and two Kondo screening channels. We show in a combined approach of scaling and numerical renormalization group calculations that the intriguing interplay of Cooper pair and Kondo singlet formation1–10 can be microscopically observed when magnetic atoms or molecules are adsorbed on superconducting surfaces. The ground state of such a combined system has been predicted to be either a Kondo screened singlet state ($S = 0$), if the Kondo scale $k_B T_K$ is much larger than the superconducting pairing energy $\Delta_{sc}$, or an unscreened multiplet state ($S > 0$) for $k_B T_K \ll \Delta_{sc}$. Characteristic features include subgap states with the singlet ground state with screened impurity spin, the bound states with the Kondo singlet which is broken, and for the multiplet ground state the bound states are singlet excitations including Kondo screening. When the energy scale for Kondo singlet formation becomes smaller and comparable with the Cooper pairing energy $k_B T_K \sim \Delta_{sc}$, the bound state energies at $E_b$ go to 0, and the $S > 0$ state becomes the ground state. At this point a quantum phase transition (QPT) occurs. The Cooper pair breaking effect is expected to behave like $1 - (E_b/\Delta_{sc})^2$ and thus is most effective here leading to a strong suppression of the superconducting $T_c$ for larger impurity concentrations.4,5,7

For a long time the accurate resolution of the subgap bound states and their dependence on $T_K$ and $\Delta_{sc}$ have remained elusive. Recently, the bound states have been analyzed in tunable mesoscopic superconductor-quantum dot-normal lead structures.11 Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) has been used to detect the local influence of single magnetic atoms on a superconducting substrate.12 To study the interplay, an experiment with variable magnetic interaction strengths is desirable. Manganese-phthalocyanine (MnPc) molecules on a Pb(111) substrate form a Moire-like superstructure.

Tunneling spectroscopy on different Mn sites reveals two Kondo screening channels and pairs of bound states of varying energy $E_b$. The large number of different adsorption sites leads to a variety of magnetic interactions and Kondo scales. The smaller Kondo scale lies in the interesting regime $k_B T_K \sim \Delta_{sc}$. Shiba states crossing the Fermi level and the predicted QPT could be observed.13 A number of important questions remained, however, unresolved. What is the role of the second Kondo screening channel and does it give rise to a shift of the critical point of the QPT? Which microscopic parameter drives the behavior of the system across the QPT? Does the asymmetry in the STM intensity of the bound states reveal the particular physical properties of the system?

Our theoretical approach to address these questions is a combination of scaling arguments and numerical renormalization group (NRG) calculations.3,4–8 The former are used to connect the complex experimental situation to an effective Anderson impurity model with one relevant channel and its model parameters. NRG calculations for this model demonstrate that the experimental behavior can be quantitatively understood by only varying the hybridization between MnPc and the substrate. The results are reliable as the NRG is known to capture the Kondo effect accurately in contrast to many other methods, used to describe impurities in superconductors, which contain mean field aspects like classical spins.4,5,10–12 The accuracy of the theoretical modeling is tested by the direct comparison of the experimental and theoretical results for the point of the QPT and the positions and weights of the bound states.

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

As detailed in Ref. 13, the MnPc molecules [see Fig. 1(a)] have been deposited on an atomically clean Pb(111) substrate at room temperature under ultra-high vacuum conditions. STM at 4.5 K resolves highly ordered islands [Fig. 1(b)]. Tunneling spectroscopy has been used to resolve the superconducting gap structure and its subgap states, as well as Kondo resonances.
on different molecules. The superconducting state of the Pb(111) substrate and Pb tip shows as pronounced differential conductance peaks at $E = \pm 2\Delta_{sc}$ with $\Delta_{sc} = 1.1$ meV.

Three differential conductance spectra taken on different MnPc molecules are shown in Fig. 1(c). Two larger peaks as well as the two smaller peaks are located at symmetric bias voltages within the gap of the superconductor-superconductor tunneling barrier. The larger peaks are an expression of the Shiba states and indicate the magnetic interaction with the tunneling substrate. The smaller peaks are a result of thermal excitations at the measurement temperature of 4.5 K across the gap. To remove the effect of the superconducting tip and finite temperature on the tunneling spectra, we developed a deconvolution method.\(^{13}\) This procedure consists of extracting the superconducting density of states of the tip from spectra on the bare surface and using the result for fitting the differential conductance spectra of the MnPc spectra assuming a set of model parameters as in Fig. 2.

We additionally identify a broad peak at the MnPc center around the Fermi energy [see Fig. 3(b)], which can be fitted by two Fano lineshapes, representing two different Kondo values to positive ones. This is shown in Fig. 2 as the ratio $w_{b,g}/w_{b,s}$.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Ratio of bound state weights $w_{b,g}/w_{b,s}$ vs. bound state energy $E_{b,g}/\Delta_{sc}$. Comparison of experimental results with theory. In all the range of bound state energy we used the model parameters $\varepsilon_d/\Delta_{sc} \approx -73$, and $U/\Delta_{sc} \approx 91$ and the overlap $\Gamma$ was varied.

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Tunneling spectrum showing the Shiba states (feedback opened at $I = 450$ pA, $V = 8.6$ mV), (b) Tunneling spectrum on the same molecule as in (a) in a larger energy scale evidencing a broad background, which can be fitted by two Kondo resonances (feedback opened at $I = 470$ pA, $V = 130$ mV). (c) Bound state energies $E_{b,\alpha}/\Delta_{sc}$ vs Kondo temperature $T_K/\Delta_{sc}$. Comparison of experimental results with theory for the same choice of model parameters as in Fig. 2.

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Molecular structure of manganese-phthalocyanine (MnPc). (b) Constant-current STM image of highly ordered island of MnPc on Pb(111) ($I = 23$ pA, $V = 100$ mV). (c) Tunneling spectra taken on different molecules on top of the Mn center (feedback opened at $I = 450$ pA, $V = 8.6$ mV). Also shown are the fits used to derive the deconvoluted spectra below in (d). (e) Examples of NRG spectra with broadened subgap peaks for similar parameters. Comparison of experimental results with theory for the same choice of model parameters as in Fig. 2.

$E_{b,g} = E_{b,s}$, $\text{keV}$
screening processes with $T_{K,2} \gg T_{K,1}$ (Ref. 13). $T_{K,2}$ with $\approx 15–45$ meV scales with $T_{K,1} \approx 1–5$ meV (for details see the Appendix). The occurrence of two Kondo screening channels can be related to the spin state of the MnPc molecule. For the isolated MnPc complex, density functional theory (DFT) calculations have found that the high spin configuration of Mn ($S = 5/2$) is reduced to $S = 3/2$ and the unpaired electrons occupy the $b_{2g}(d_{z^2})$, the $e_g(d_{x^2-y^2})$, and the $a_{1g}(d_{z^2})$ orbital (the $x$ axis along an arm of MnPc) and are aligned due to Hund’s rule coupling.22 When adsorbed on the Pb surface (in the $z$ direction), the $d_{z^2}$ orbital hybridizes strongly with the Pb states and is therefore assumed to be quenched.23 Hence, the observation of two screening channels suggests a spin state of $S = 1$. Since $k_BT_{K,2} \gg \Delta_{sc}$, Kondo screening dominates for this channel. Therefore, we only correlate $T_{K,1} \equiv T_K \sim \Delta_{sc}$ with the appearance of the bound states inside the gap.

Figure 3 shows the dependence of $E_{b,a}$ on $R_{K,sc} = k_B T_K/\Delta_{sc}$. At large $R_{K,sc}$, the Kondo screening is efficient and the many-body ground state is a singlet. Here tunneling can occur via the doublet state which involves breaking of the Kondo singlet and rearrangement of Cooper pairs. With decreasing $R_{K,sc}$ this requires less energy and we find $E_{b,a} \to 0$. The level crossing occurs at $R_{K,sc} \approx 1.2$. This point can be regarded as the critical point of the QPT and is a universal feature. The further decrease of $R_{K,sc}$ leads to the unscreened doublet ground state.

### II. THEORETICAL RESULTS FOR THE ONE-CHANNEL MODEL

For the theoretical description we use an Anderson impurity model (AIM) Hamiltonian of the form

$$H = H_{sc} + H_I + H_{mix}. \quad (1)$$

The superconducting medium reads

$$H_{sc} = \sum_{k,m,\sigma} \epsilon_{k,m}\hat{c}_{k,m,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k,m,\sigma} - \sum_{k,m} (\Delta_{sc}\hat{c}_{k,m,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{-k,m,\downarrow} + H.c.), \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{c}_{k,m,\sigma}^\dagger$ creates a band electron with momentum $k$, spin $\sigma$, and band index $m$, where $m = 1, \ldots, N_c$, and there are $N_c$ available channels. $\epsilon_{k,m}$ is the corresponding electronic dispersion and $\Delta_{sc}$ the gap parameter chosen real. The band electrons hybridize with the impurity states via

$$H_{mix} = \sum_{k,m,\sigma} (V_{m}\hat{c}_{k,m,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{d,m,\sigma} + H.c.), \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{n}_{d,m,\sigma}$ creates a $d$-level impurity electron with spin $\sigma$ and index $m = 1, \ldots, N_d$. In the present situation, the number of conduction channels which hybridize is equal to the $d$-orbital states, i.e., $N_d = N_c$. We will assume different matrix elements $V_m$ due to different overlapping integrals. These matrix elements determine the energy scale for the hybridization of $d$ states with the substrate through

$$\Gamma_m = \pi V_m^2 \rho_{m,c},$$

where $\rho_{m,c}$ is the DOS of the conduction band at the Fermi level $E_F$. Despite the experimental observation of two Kondo screening channels, we will now show that the behavior of the Shiba states can be well described by a single-channel model ($N = 1$), suggesting a low energy decoupling of the Kondo channels. For the single-channel case the “$d$-orbital” term $H_d$ simply reads

$$H_d = \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_d n_{\sigma} + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}, \quad (4)$$

with the $d$-level position $\epsilon_d$ relative to $E_F = 0$ and the on-site Coulomb interaction with strength $U$, where $n_{\sigma} = c_{d,\sigma}^\dagger c_{d,\sigma}$. For this model we perform NRG calculations to calculate the lowest energy excitations and their spectral weights, which characterize the subgap bound states. Examples for the low energy spectra can be seen in Fig. 1(e).

We now explain how to choose the model parameters for the different MnPc molecules on the Pb(111) surface. We expect that the main difference for the MnPc molecules in the different adsorption sites is the magnitude of the hybridization $\Gamma$, which in turn leads to different $T_K$. The energy level alignment of the $d$ states $\epsilon_d$ and Coulomb energy $U$ are, on the contrary, expected to change little with the site.24 We therefore only vary $\Gamma$ to explain the data. The superconducting gap $\Delta_{sc} = 1.1$ meV sets the energy scale. The relation of values for $\epsilon_d$, $\Gamma$ and $U$ is constrained to give suitable values for the Kondo temperature $T_K \sim \Delta_{sc}$. Their actual value can be fixed by matching the strong experimental weight asymmetry $w_{b,g}/w_{b,s}$ of the Shiba states for the maximal $E_{b,g} > 0$ on the doublet side in Fig. 2. This yields $\epsilon_d/\Delta_{sc} \approx -73, U/\Delta_{sc} \approx 91$, and $\Gamma/\Delta_{sc} = 16$. This corresponds to an asymmetric AIM, with $\xi = \epsilon_d/U + 1/2 \approx 0.3$. A variation of $\Gamma / \Delta_{sc}$ from 16–46 then reproduces accurately the weight asymmetry in Fig. 2 on decreasing $E_{b,a}$ and also the variation of $E_b^a$ with $R_{K,sc}$ in Fig. 3. NRG calculations for an asymmetric one-channel AIM can thus account for the experimentally observed universal features such as $R_{K,sc}$ and nonuniversal ones like $w_{b,g}/w_{b,s}$.25

Most important for the understanding of the physical processes is the correct description of the QPT. For the one-channel nondegenerate AIM and the Kondo model, NRG studies have estimated that the phase transition occurs when $R_{K,sc} \approx 0.3$. A deviation from this would indicate that a different number of channels contribute to the Kondo screening of the same electron spin state.26 In particular, this may illustrate the role of the second Kondo channel observed in the experiment.

At first sight the experimental result for the QPT, $R_{K,sc}^c \approx 1.2$ (Fig. 3), seems to suggest a more complicated situation than a one-channel model. However, we find that the origin of this discrepancy is the use of different definitions of $T_K$, which can vary by a prefactor. In the theoretical work the definition

$$T_K = 0.29|U \Gamma|^1/2 e^{-\pi(\epsilon_d/\Delta_{sc})/U} \quad (5)$$

was used. For the experimental values of $T_K$, we employ the widely used definition based on the width of the Kondo resonance $\Delta_{K}$ (half width at half maximum) in the limit $T \to 0$. We adopt the same definition in our NRG calculations ($T_K = \Delta_{K}$) and find that $\Delta_{K}$ and the definition in Eq. (5) can differ by a factor of 4 (see also Ref. 30). Taking this into account, our result for the QPT in Fig. 3 is in excellent agreement with the
We now discuss the emergence of the low energy effective one-channel model and its parameters using scaling arguments. First notice that the magnitudes of $\epsilon_{d,1}$, $U_1$, and $\Gamma$ do not correspond to usual atomic values $\sim O(\text{eV})$, but rather to values of the order 100 meV. This is related to the fact that the AIMD under consideration is an effective model valid for low energies. Using insights from the DFT calculations for MnPc (Ref. 22), and the observation of two Kondo channels, we start with a model of the form of Eq. (1) with the two $d$ levels coupled to two bands ($N_d = N_{d'} = 2$) with the hybridization terms $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma_2$. Channel 1 thus describes the physical processes related to the smaller Kondo temperature. We assign it to the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital since due the spatial orientation the overlap with the substrate is much larger for the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. The impurity term can be written in terms of the level positions $\epsilon_{d,m}$ relative to $\epsilon_F$, intra-orbital Coulomb energy $U_m$, interorbital Coulomb interaction $U_{12}$, and a Hund’s rule interaction $J_{1H}$,

$$H_d = \sum_{\sigma,m} \epsilon_{d,m}\sigma^{d,m,\sigma}c_{d,m,\sigma} + \sum_m U_m n_{d,m,\uparrow} n_{d,m,\downarrow} + \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} U_{12} n_{d,1,\sigma} n_{d,2,\sigma'} - J_{1H} S_{d,1} \cdot S_{d,2},$$

(6)

where $S_{d,m}^{\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2} \epsilon_{d,m}\sigma_1^{d,m,\sigma_1}c_{d,m,\sigma_1}c_{d,m,\sigma_2}$ with the Pauli matrix $\sigma$. The complete set of bare parameters of this model cannot be extracted from existing DFT calculations, or experimental observations. Therefore, the following are qualitative arguments on general grounds.

We now derive scaling equations, which connect the original model and its parameters at a high energy scale $\Lambda$ of the order of the electronic bandwidth to the low energy effective ones at $\Lambda \sim \Gamma_{1,2}$, where the second channel decouples due to its complete Kondo screening. We focus on the quantities in channel 1, where the Shiba states occur. We only include processes with matrix elements $\sim V_{12}^2$ explicitly, where the level occupations of $\epsilon_{d,1}$ changes. There are also contributions $\sim V_{12}^2$, whose inclusion leads to quantitative changes in the equations but does not alter the conclusions (for details see the Appendix). Band structure calculations for Pb suggest a situation, where the band $\sim D_1, D_2$ is asymmetric with respect to $\epsilon_F$, $D_1 < D_2$. Then, we have two scaling regimes (I, II, see Fig. 4): I, where the scaling process has no counterpart in the occupied states, and II, where the residual band structure is symmetric around $\epsilon_F$. The scaling equations for the energies $\epsilon_{d,1}$ and $U$ in regime I, i.e., in $\Lambda \in (D_1, D_2)$ read,

$$\frac{d\epsilon_{d,1}}{d\Lambda} \approx \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Lambda - \epsilon_{d,1}},$$

(7)

$$\frac{dU_1}{d\Lambda} \approx \frac{2\Gamma_1}{\Lambda - \epsilon_{d,1}},$$

(8)

where $\bar{\epsilon}_{d,1} = \epsilon_{d,1} + U_1$. Different from the usual approaches we also use a scaling equation for $\Gamma_1$, which is derived from $d\epsilon_{d,1}/d\Lambda = 0$, assuming $\Gamma_{k,1}$ as a scaling invariant. Such a scaling procedure can be continued as long as the levels $|\epsilon_{d,1}|, |\epsilon_{d,1} + U_1|$ lie within $(-\Lambda, \Lambda)$ and do not interfere with the Kondo scale. We find $d\epsilon_{d,1}/d\Lambda > 0$ and $dU_1/d\Lambda > 0$, such that $\epsilon_{d,1} < 0$ is shifting away from $\epsilon_F$ when scaling to lower energy and $U$ decreases. The model becomes more asymmetric in this regime. When $\Lambda \sim D_1$, we reach the scaling regime II, with the corresponding scaling equations

$$\frac{d\epsilon_{d,1}}{d\Lambda} \approx \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Lambda - \epsilon_{d,1}},$$

(9)

$$\frac{dU_1}{d\Lambda} \approx \frac{4\Gamma_1}{\Lambda - \epsilon_{d,1}}(\Lambda - \epsilon_{d,1}^2)/(\Lambda - \epsilon_{d,1}^2),$$

(10)

For starting values $\epsilon_{d,1}(D_1) < 0$, $U_1(D_1) > 0$, one has $d\epsilon_{d,1}/d\Lambda < 0$. In this case $\epsilon_{d,1}$ is shifting towards $\epsilon_F$. Due to the term in the denominator the effect becomes strong when $\Lambda^2 < \bar{\epsilon}_{d,1}^2$ [see Fig. 4 (right)]. For the same effective starting values we have $dU_1/d\Lambda > 0$. Hence, $U_1$ and $(\epsilon_{d,1} + U_1)$ decrease further under the scaling. This scaling can be continued until we reach $\Lambda \sim \Gamma_{1H}$. We have $D_1 \sim 3$ eV, and for the usual estimates for $\Gamma_{1H}$ we expect $\Gamma_{1,2} < \Gamma_{1H} < D_1$. At this scale the spins lock into the high spin $S = 1$ configuration due to the dominating Hund’s coupling. As shown in Ref. 35, this leads to a reduction of the magnetic coupling $J \to J/(2S)$ and the Kondo scale. In our approach it can be included in $\Gamma_1$, such that the scaling is slowed down. The scaling can then be continued until $\Lambda \sim \Gamma_{2,1K}$. Then one part of the impurity spin becomes Kondo screened and decouples. At this scale we have an effective single band model with $S = 1/2$. Its parameters are substantially reduced from the bare values and generically asymmetric, which clarifies our choice in the earlier NRG calculations. Since NRG calculations for multichannel models are very challenging, our approach combined with scaling equations could be useful in other situations for complex molecules on surfaces.

In conclusion, we provide a unified experimental and theoretical perspective of the microscopic interplay of Kondo

![Diagram](https://example.com/diagram.png)
screening and superconducting pairing for MnPc on lead, as manifested in the subgap bound states. We identify the change of hybridization as the relevant quantity and show that in spite of the complex spin state of MnPc and two Kondo screening channels, an effective description based on the one-channel AIM captures both universal aspects like $R_{K,sc}$ and nonuniversal ones like the asymmetry of the weights very well. In the future it would be interesting to envisage situations where different impurity spins can interact, such that different kinds of quantum phase transitions can occur.
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**APPENDIX A: DECONVOLUTION PROCEDURE**

In conventional STM experiments, to first order approximation the $dI/dV$ spectra resemble the density of states of the sample. This relies on a constant density of states of the STM tip. In the presented experiment, the tip is coated with the superconducting material of the substrate, thus exhibiting a Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer-like (BCS) density of states. To interpret the measured $dI/dV$ spectra as the density of states of the sample, the influence of the tip DOS has to be extracted. We do this by a deconvolution procedure, which has been described and tested in the supplementary material of Ref. 13.

The STM current $I$ as a function of voltage $V$ has the following form:

$$I(V,r) \sim \int d\omega \rho_s(\omega, r) \rho_t(\omega) [f(\omega) - f(\omega - eV)],$$

(A1)

where $\rho_s(\omega, r)$ is the local density of states of the sample at position $r$, $\rho_t(\omega)$ the one of the tip, and $f(\omega)$ is the Fermi function. The deconvolution method consists of a two-step process based on fitting the experimental spectra with a simulated density of states. In the first step, the experimental spectrum of the clean surface $\rho_s(\omega, r) = \rho_s(\omega)$ is measured and fitted. The density of states of sample and tip are equal, $\rho_s(\omega) = \rho_t(\omega)$, and follow a BCS density of states broadened by a Lorentzian function. To reproduce the $dI/dV$ spectra, we calculate the tunneling current at each bias voltage and numerically derive the $dI/dV$ function. This function is then fitted to the experimental data to extract the temperature, superconducting gap, and broadening. Since the same tip and experimental conditions are used to measure the $dI/dV(V, r = R_{MnPc})$ spectra on the MnPc molecules, these parameters remain fixed for modeling the tip density of states $\rho_t(\omega)$, which is necessary in the next step. The Shiba states are simulated by two Lorentz peaks inside a symmetric gap (modeled by two broadened step functions) around the Fermi level. With this model density of states, the tunneling current is again calculated at each bias voltage and the $dI/dV$ signal derived numerically. The fitting procedure then gives a result for the local $\rho_s(\omega, r = R_{MnPc})$ from which the position, width, and amplitude of the Shiba states can be extracted.

To show the validity and precision of the procedure, we present in Fig. 1(c) a direct comparison of the measured and fitted spectra for a number of examples.

**APPENDIX B: DEFINITION OF THE KONDO TEMPERATURE**

For a quantitative comparison between the experiment and theory it is important that the same definition of the Kondo temperature $T_K$ is used. In the literature one can find different definitions for the Kondo temperature $T_K$ (Ref. 9). Theoretically, it is convenient to define it via the magnetic susceptibility of the impurity in the limit $T \to 0$, $\chi_m = x/(4T_K)$ with a suitable prefactor $x$. This quantity is, however, not experimentally measured in the present context. The quantity which is most easily accessible experimentally is the width of the Kondo resonance $\Delta_K$ (half width at half maximum). To make the comparison of the experiment and theory consistent we have used this definition also in the theory, i.e., $\Delta_K = T_K$. The experimental data are well understood in terms of an overlap of two Fano functions for the two Kondo channels with two different Kondo temperatures $T_{K,1}$, $T_{K,2}$. For details Ref. 13 can be consulted. In Table I we display a number of values found on different molecules.

**APPENDIX C: SCALING EQUATIONS FOR THE TWO-CHANNEL MODEL**

Here we present the complete set of scaling equations for the two-channel model. We will argue that the conclusions in the main text are not changed qualitatively due to the additional terms proportional to $V_2^2$. The starting point is the effective two-orbital model in Eq. (1) together with Eq. (6). In the following we focus on the complete scaling equations in regime II. The scaling equations in regime I only contain a part of the terms and show how the asymmetry is increased for an asymmetric band. They can be derived and discussed in a similar fashion. For each orbital there are four possible states, such that there are 16 atomic states which can be described by the occupation numbers $n_{1,\sigma}$, $n_{2,\sigma}$, for orbitals 1 and 2, respectively. Due to the Hund’s rule term ($J_H > 0$)

$$H_H = -J_H S_{d,1} \cdot S_{d,2},$$

(C1)

the atomic eigenbasis for the singly occupied situation $n_{1,\sigma} = n_{2,\sigma} = 1$ is given by singlet and triplet states $|S, S\rangle$, and hence...

**TABLE I.** Exemplary values for $T_{K,1}$ and $T_{K,2}$ on different molecules with the energy of the larger Shiba state $E_{b,s}/\Delta_{c}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_{b,s}/\Delta_c$</th>
<th>$T_{K,1}$ (K)</th>
<th>$T_{K,2}$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-0.76$</td>
<td>$52 \pm 5$</td>
<td>$480 \pm 60$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.69$</td>
<td>$53 \pm 8$</td>
<td>$330 \pm 40$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.46$</td>
<td>$38 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$280 \pm 50$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.29$</td>
<td>$29 \pm 5$</td>
<td>$420 \pm 30$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.24$</td>
<td>$14 \pm 10$</td>
<td>$210 \pm 50$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
we use those quantum numbers, where $S$ is the total spin with $z$-component $S_z$. One has

$$H_{\text{H}} |1, S_z\rangle = -J_{\text{H}} |1, S_z\rangle, \quad H_{\text{H}} |0, S_z\rangle = 3J_{\text{H}} |0, S_z\rangle.$$  \hfill (C2)

i.e., the triplet state has the lowest energy, and the energy difference is $4J_{\text{H}}$ for our definition of $S_{d,i}$, which does not include a factor of $1/2$. For the derivation of the scaling equations it is useful to define the Hubbard operators $X_{ab} = |a\rangle\langle b|$ for the atomic states.\(^9\) The atomic terms can be given in diagonal energy representation with $E_{\text{n}_a,\text{n}_b}$ and $E_{\text{s}_a,\text{s}_b}$. The hybridization term constitutes a lengthy expression in terms of those Hubbard operators taking into account all possible processes of different occupation. The single particle occupation parameters of the Hamiltonian can be expressed as $\epsilon_{d,1,\sigma} = E_{\text{n},\text{s}_{\alpha}=1,0} - E_{\text{s},\text{s}_{\alpha}=0,0}$. By projecting out high energetic particle and hole states in the bath\(^5\) we find the equations

$$\frac{d\epsilon_{d,1}}{d\Lambda} = \frac{\Gamma_1}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\Lambda - \epsilon_{d,1}} + \frac{1}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,1} + U_1} - \frac{2}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,1}} \right] + \frac{\Gamma_2}{\pi} \left[ \frac{3/2}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,2} + U_{12} - J_{\text{H}}} + \frac{1/2}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,2} + U_{12} + 3J_{\text{H}}} - \frac{2}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,2}} \right].$$  \hfill (C3)

$$\frac{dU_1}{d\Lambda} = \frac{2\Gamma_1}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,1} - \epsilon_{d,1}} + \frac{1}{\Lambda - \epsilon_{d,1} - U_1} - \frac{1}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,1} + U_1} \right] + \frac{\Gamma_2}{\pi} \left[ \frac{2}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,2} + 2U_{12}} - \frac{3}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,2} + U_{12} - J_{\text{H}}} + \frac{1}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,2} + U_{12} + 3J_{\text{H}}} + \frac{2}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,2}} \right].$$  \hfill (C4)

Similar equations are found for $\epsilon_{d,2}$ and $U_2$, where one has to interchange the indices $\alpha = 1, 2$ in $\epsilon_{d,\alpha}, \Gamma_{\alpha}$, and $U_{\alpha}$ on the right-hand side. An equation for $J_{\text{H}}$ follows from $4J_{\text{H}} = E_{\text{s}_{\alpha}=0,\text{s}_{\alpha}=0} - E_{\text{s}_{\alpha}=1,\text{s}_{\alpha}=1}$,

$$\frac{dJ_{\text{H}}}{d\Lambda} = \sum_{m=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma_m}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\Lambda - (\epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} + 3J_{\text{H}})} + \frac{1}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} + U_1 - 3J_{\text{H}}} - \frac{1}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} + U_1 + J_{\text{H}}} \right].$$

We can represent $U_{12}$ as $U_{12} = E_{\text{s}_{\alpha}=1,\text{s}_{\alpha}=1} - E_{\text{s}_{\alpha}=0,\text{s}_{\alpha}=0} - \epsilon_{d,1} - \epsilon_{d,2} - J_{\text{H}}$, and find

$$\frac{dU_{12}}{d\Lambda} = \sum_{m=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma_m}{\pi} \left[ \frac{3/4}{\Lambda - (\epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} - J_{\text{H}})} + \frac{3/4}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} + U_1 + J_{\text{H}}} + \frac{1/4}{\Lambda - (\epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} + 3J_{\text{H}})} \right. \left. + \frac{1/4}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} + U_1 - 3J_{\text{H}}} - \frac{3/2}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} - J_{\text{H}}} + \frac{1/2}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} + J_{\text{H}}} \right. \left. - \frac{1}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,m} + U_1} - \frac{1}{\Lambda - \epsilon_{d,m}} + \frac{2}{\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,m}} \right].$$

We can use the Kondo scales in the two channels $T_{K,m}$ as scaling invariants. There is an approximate form $T_{K,m} \approx T_{K,m}^0 \exp(-\alpha J_{\text{H}})$ for the situation with Hund’s rule coupling,\(^3\) where $T_{K,m}^0$ is the Kondo scale for $J_{\text{H}} = 0$. From this we can also derive scaling equations for $\Gamma_m$. If one neglects $J_{\text{H}}$ in the denominator as being smaller than the other scales then one finds $dJ_{\text{H}}/d\Lambda \simeq 0$, such that the Hund’s coupling varies little.\(^{35}\)

Equations (9) and (10) in the main text for regime II correspond to Eqs. (C3) and (C4) without the terms proportional to $\Gamma_2$. To have Kondo physics in both channels, we need the atomic ground state to be one where both orbitals are singly occupied, which implies $\epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} < 0$ and $\epsilon_{d,m} + U_{12} + U_m > 0$. We also expect a deviation from particle-hole symmetry $\epsilon_{d,m} = -U_{12} - U_m/2$. In the main text we have argued that from the term proportional to $\Gamma_1$ one finds $\frac{d\epsilon_{d,1}}{d\Lambda} < 0$. It is easy to see that for $\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,2} > 0$, $\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,2} + U_{12} - J_{\text{H}} > 0$, and $\Lambda + \epsilon_{d,2} + U_{12} - J_{\text{H}} > 0$ the term proportional to $\Gamma_2$ is also negative, such that $\frac{d\epsilon_{d,1}}{d\Lambda} < 0$ is reinforced. Similarly, the additional term $\sim \Gamma_2$ in Eq. (C4) has the same sign as the first term and therefore $\frac{dU_{12}}{d\Lambda} > 0$ is maintained. Hence, the qualitative picture remains unchanged, when these additional terms are taken into account. There will, however, be quantitative changes in the scaling. The quantities in channel $2$, $\epsilon_{d,2}, U_2$ possess a similar scaling flow, but are not of major interest here as the corresponding Kondo temperature $T_{K,2}$ largely exceeds the superconducting gap. A more complete discussion of the different regimes of the scaling equations and the different resulting behavior is beyond the scope of this work, but can be the subject of a separate study.
25. Other methods (Refs. 19–21) can give asymmetric weights even in more symmetric situations, however, they are based on classical spins, such that the Kondo effect is not captured.
30. Calculations with $\varepsilon_d$, $U \sim O(eV)$ with varying $\Gamma$ do not reproduce the behavior of $w_{b,g}/w_{b,s}$ very well.
31. The possibility of a different assignment of screening channels cannot be excluded (see, e.g., Ref. 23). However, this assignment leads to a consistent picture.