Identification of Curie temperature distributions in magnetic particulate systems

J Waters¹, A Berger², D Kramer¹, H Fangohr¹ and O Hovorka¹

 1 Engineering and the Environment, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO16 7QF, UK

 2 CIC nano
GUNE Consolider, Donostia-San Sebastián, E20018, Spain

E-mail: J.M.Waters@soton.ac.uk

Abstract. This paper develops a methodology for extracting the Curie temperature distribution from magnetisation versus temperature measurements which are realizable by standard laboratory magnetometry. The method is integral in nature, robust against various sources of measurement noise, and can be adopted to a wide range of granular magnetic materials and magnetic particle systems. The validity and practicality of the method is demonstrated using large-scale Monte-Carlo simulations of an Ising-like model as a proof of concept, and general conclusions are drawn about its applicability to different classes of systems and experimental conditions.

 $Keywords \ Curie \ temperature, \ finite \ size \ scaling, \ identification, \ heat-assisted \ magnetic \ recording$

1. Introduction

Development of magnetic nanotechnologies, such as nano-structured high temperature permanent magnets [1], heat assisted magnetic recording technologies (HAMR) [2], or biomedical applications [3, 4], relies upon the availability of methodologies for the accurate large-scale characterisation of magnetic nanoparticles and granular materials at elevated temperatures. The presence of non-uniformities leads to a broadening of the phase transition region and the consequent difficulties in determining a single Curie temperature T_c . Instead, identification of a distribution of T_c is often required.

For example, in HAMR, the extent of the broadening of the T_c distribution determines the noise performance and the quality of the recording process, and its accurate quantification is essential for the optimisation and quality control [2]. In heat assisted cancer therapy, developing self-regulated magnetic hyperthermia requires optimisation of T_c distributions in assemblies of low- T_c nanoparticles [4]. Identifying T_c distributions in such systems, dominated by disorder and complex spatial inhomogeneities, is in general a challenge which hinders the optimisation of their performance at high temperatures.

Direct techniques, such as those based upon specialised laser systems, have been developed for the experimental determination of the switching temperature distribution in HAMR media films [5–7]. Such techniques become difficult to implement in the case of irregular and highly disordered magnetic Indirect techniques have particle distributions. instead proven practical, based on identifying the T_c distribution from temperature dependent measurement of the magnetic moment m(T) or the AC susceptibility using inverse problem solving techniques [8–10]. Such techniques have been applied predominantly to thin film samples thus far and did not include the finite size effects, nor did they relate the T_c distribution to the intrinsic properties of elementary particles in a systematic manner.

In this work, an indirect approach is developed to extract the T_c distribution from m(T) data in assemblies of finite size magnetic grains or particles. The method systematically incorporates knowledge of the finite grain size distribution, critical exponents, and bulk Curie temperature T_c^b , and as a consequence of universality in the phase transition region, the method can be adopted to a broad class of different material systems. This universality also allows validation of the methodology using simplified models without sacrificing its generality, such as the two-dimensional Ising model used in this work for which the T_c^b and critical exponents are known from analytical calculations [11, 12].

The key notion adopted in this work is that of the finite system size critical temperature $T_c = T_c(\mathcal{D})$, to be distinguished from the bulk Curie temperature T_c^b relevant in the thermodynamic limit [?, 6, 11–17]. Strictly speaking, the phase transition temperature is defined only in the thermodynamic limit as a critical point which marks non-analytic and divergent behaviour of the thermodynamic state functions [11]. In finite size systems the divergent point becomes a rounded peak and the notion of the finite size Curie temperature T_c becomes indefinite. It is standard to adopt the definition that T_c is related or indeed equal to the peak of a rounded state function, such as the derivative of magnetisation M(T) of a grain, dM/dT, or the temperature dependent magnetic susceptibility [14,17]. In practice, both definitions give similar results and any differences diminish as \mathcal{D} increases towards the bulk, as illustrated in figures 1(a)-(c). The trend obeys the well known scaling law [11-17]:

$$T_c(\mathcal{D}) = T_c^b \left(1 - \mathcal{D}^{-1/\nu} \right) \tag{1}$$

where ν is a material dependent critical exponent associated with the the correlation length in the phase transition region.

The study outlined in this paper will consider assemblies of independent particles of variable size, each viewed as an elementary thermodynamic system characterised by a unique value of size-dependent T_c which obeys relation (1), and develop an approach for extracting the finite size T_c distribution from a typical m(T) measurement of such a particle assembly. The application domain includes the analysis of dilute systems of magnetic nanoparticles, or granular magnetic materials for heat assisted magnetic recording where the intergranular exchange interactions are optimised to produce weak correlations.

It is worthwhile mentioning that although the peak of dM/dT can be used to define T_c of a single grain, it is not true that the derivative of m(T) of a non-interacting granular assembly will similarly define the distribution of T_c of grains. The dm/dT, being a superposition of dM/dT of all grains within an assembly, will also contain convoluted contributions from the finite widths of functional dependences of dM/dT of grains, expected to statistically vary from grain-to-grain. This will lead to broadening of dm(T)/dT and add a fictitious contribution to the intrinsic distribution of T_c of grains. The essence of identification methodologies such as developed in this article is to systematically deconvolve these contributions and extract the genuine T_c distribution.



Figure 1. (a) Snapshot from an ensemble of magnetic grains of variable size D represented as circular lattices of Ising spins. (b) Size dependent M(T) data from Monte-Carlo simulations of Ising lattices such as shown in (a). (c) The size dependence of the T_c obtained from the peak of the derivative of M(T) data shown in (b) (dashed line) and as a corresponding susceptibility peak (solid line). (d) The scaling function $\tilde{\mu}$ obtained from the finite system size scaling analysis by collapsing the M(t) data in (b) using equation (7).

2. Identification Framework

2.1. Overview and application of the framework

The key result derived in this article is the integral expression for temperature dependent magnetic moment of an assembly of independent magnetic grains:

$$m(T) = m_0 \int_0^1 x^{\beta - \nu d} \tilde{\mu} \left(\frac{T - T_c^b}{T_c^b} \frac{1}{x} \right) f_t(x) dx \tag{2}$$

where m_0 is a constant, d is the dimension of the smallest characteristic region of a magnetic particle, β is the magnetisation universal critical exponent, and $\tilde{\mu}$ is the universal scaling function to be discussed in detail. Expressions analogous to equation (2) can also be derived for other thermodynamic variables, such as magnetic susceptibility or specific heat.

The Curie temperature distribution $f_t = f_t(t_c)$ in (2) is represented in terms of the reduced Curie temperature t_c :

$$t_c = (T_c^b - T_c)/T_c^b \tag{3}$$

If the particle size distribution is chosen to be the experimentally relevant lognormal distribution, $f_t(t_c)$ will be shown to also take lognormal form:

$$f_t(t_c) = (\sqrt{2\pi}t_c \tilde{\sigma}_{t_c})^{-1} \exp\left(-(\ln t_c - \tilde{t}_c)^2 / 2\tilde{\sigma}_{t_c}^2\right)$$
(4)

where \tilde{t}_c and $\tilde{\sigma}_{t_c}^2$ are respectively the logarithmic mean and variance. The corresponding arithmetic mean and variance follow from the standard properties of lognormal distribution as $\langle t_c \rangle = \exp(\tilde{t}_c + \tilde{\sigma}_{t_c}^2/2)$ and $\sigma_{t_c}^2 = \langle t_c \rangle^2 (\exp(\tilde{\sigma}_{t_c}^2) - 1)$. According to (3), the mean non-reduced Curie temperature is $\langle T_c \rangle = T_c^b (1 - \langle t_c \rangle)$ and the standard deviation $\sigma_{T_c} = T_c^b \sigma_{t_c}$ [18].

The parameters \tilde{t}_c and $\tilde{\sigma}_{t_c}$ in (4) can be identified by least-square fitting equation (2) to experimental m(T) data. This in principle also allows extracting the values of the bulk Curie temperature T_c^b and the critical exponents β , ν as fit parameters, although any knowledge of these parameters from independent experiments or simulations aids in reducing the fit parameter correlation. For example, T_c^b can be estimated from finite lattice size simulations using the Binder cumulant expansion method [19, 20], and the critical exponents can be estimated using the modified Arrott (Kouvel-Fisher) technique, or the finite size scaling analysis used below [21].

The relation (2) can be adapted to different classes of material systems in a straightforward manner by choosing appropriate critical exponents and scaling functions (Table 1). This generality is a result of universality arising from scale invariance in the phase transition region to be discussed in more detail next.

2.2. Derivation of the fitting function

Consider a system of magnetic grains of variable size (figure 1(a)). The volume of a grain is $V = CD^d$, where for example for cylinder D is the base diameter, d = 2, and $C = L\pi/4$ with L being the height, assuming L > D. For a sphere D is the diameter, d = 3 and $C = \pi/6$. For convenience, the dimensionless size $\mathcal{D} = D/D_0$ is introduced, where D_0 is some reference length such as the atomic lattice spacing. Each grain in the ensemble is assumed to be an elementary thermodynamic system with magnetic moment \vec{m}_g having length $m_g = |\vec{m}_g|$ dependent on T and \mathcal{D} , which can be expressed using the definitions above as:

$$m_g(T, \mathcal{D}) = V M_s M(T, \mathcal{D}) = m_0 \mathcal{D}^d M(T, \mathcal{D})$$
(5)

where $m_0 = CD_0^d M_s$, M_s is the saturation magnetisation at T = 0, and the temperature and size dependent magnetisation $M(T, \mathcal{D})$ is normalised to be dimensionless. The fact that M depends upon \mathcal{D} is a manifestation of the finite size effect.

Integrating equation (5) over a grain size distribution $f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D})$ gives the expression for the magnetisation of an ensemble of grains as a weighted superposition of contributions from all grains $m(T) = m_0 \langle M(T, \mathcal{D}) \rangle$:

$$m(T) = m_0 \int_0^\infty \mathcal{D}^d M(T, \mathcal{D}) f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D}) d\mathcal{D}$$
(6)

where near the phase transition the $M(T, \mathcal{D})$ dependence is known to take universal form [11, 16, 17]:

$$M(T, \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}^{-\beta/\nu} \tilde{\mu} \left(\mathcal{D}^{1/\nu} \frac{T - T_c^b}{T_c^b} \right)$$
(7)

Table 1. Various critical exponents and values for Ising model, anisotropic Heisenberg model, and FePt model relevant to HAMR. Also included are suggested empirical forms for the universal magnetisation function $\tilde{\mu}$, and its inverse function $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}$, determined from the scaling analysis, where for Ising model the parameters $a_1 = 0.640 \pm 0.003$, $a_2 = 0.72 \pm 0.01$, $a_3 = 0.465 \pm 0.007$, $a_4 = 0.00038 \pm 2 \times 10^{-5}$, $a_5 = 0.070 \pm 0.002$; for Heisenberg model $a_1 = 25.06$, $a_2 = 0.33$, $a_3 = 0.00919$ and for FePt model $a_1 = 11.58$, $a_2 = 0.5$, $a_3 = 0.0764$ with 1% error.

Model	T_c^b	ν	β	$\tilde{\mu}(x)$ Empirical
Ising (2D) [11, 19] Heisenberg (3D) [11, 13, 22] FePt [13]	$\begin{array}{c} 2.269 \ J/k_B \\ 2.269 \ J/k_B \\ 775 \ \mathrm{K} \end{array}$	$1 \\ 0.71 \\ 0.85$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.125 \\ 0.36 \\ 0.33 \end{array}$	$\begin{split} \tilde{\mu}(x) &= a_1 \tan^{-1}(a_2 + a_3 x + a_4 x^3 + a_5 x ^{8/5}) \\ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}(x) &= a_1(a_2 - 1)/(a_2 \tanh^{-1}(a_2 x (1 - a_3 x^5)^{-1})) \\ \tilde{\mu}^{-1}(x) &= a_1(a_2 - 1)/(a_2 \tanh^{-1}(a_2 x (1 - a_3 x^5)^{-1})) \end{split}$

The scaling function $\tilde{\mu}(x)$ can be established by matching the magnetisation data $M(T, \mathcal{D})$ for grains of different size \mathcal{D} using scaled coordinates $\tilde{\mu} \equiv \mathcal{D}^{\beta/\nu} M$ and $x \equiv \mathcal{D}^{1/\nu} (T - T_c^b) / T_c^b$ if the values of the bulk T_c^b and critical exponents β and ν are known (figures 1(b), (d)). Alternatively, if unknown, β, ν , and T_c^b can be found by obtaining the collapse of the $M(T, \mathcal{D})$ data for different \mathcal{D} [23]. This collapse then gives the functional form of $\tilde{\mu}$.

Inserting equation (7) into (6) and arranging using (1) and (3), leads to the expression for ensemble magnetic moment as given by equation (2). The explicit relationship between $f_t(t_c)$ and $f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D})$ can be obtained by substituting equation (1) into (6):

$$f_t(t_c) = \left(\frac{dt_c}{d\mathcal{D}}\right)^{-1} f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D}) \tag{8}$$

which is the standard transformation between two probability distributions of random variables related by a functional relation [18]. For example, for the experimentally relevant lognormal grain size distribution:

$$f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D}) = (\sqrt{2\pi}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\sigma_{\mathcal{D}})^{-1} \exp\left(-(\ln\mathcal{D} - \tilde{\mathcal{D}})^2/2\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathcal{D}}^2\right) \quad (9)$$

where \hat{D} and $\tilde{\sigma}_{D}^{2}$ are the logarithmic mean and variance, combining (1), (3) and (8) gives the $f_{t}(t_{c})$ also in the form of lognormal distribution as given in (4) with $\tilde{t}_{c} = -\tilde{D}/\nu$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{t_{c}} = \tilde{\sigma}_{D}/\nu$.

It is worthwhile noting that the validity of the scaling relation (7) is a consequence of the universality and emergent scale invariance near phase transitions. The universal behaviour of different classes of materials can represented by the same sets of critical exponents and scaling functions [11, 24]. This universality also means that complex materials can be studied by using simplified model systems with the same critical exponents, i.e. models in the same universality class, making simulations based on simplified model systems especially powerful tool for investigating phase transitions.

3. Proof of concept

A zero field two-dimensional Ising model is used as a proof of concept model system for validating the methodology. The zero field Ising Hamiltonian reads $\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{ij} s_i s_j$, where the double-sum extends over the nearest neighbour spin pairs, and J > 0. Metropolis Monte-Carlo simulation technique will be used to study the T dependent behaviour.

The advantage of choice of the Ising model is in the availability of analytical results. Moreover, given the low value of the critical exponent ratio β/ν , equation (7) implies only a weak dependence of M on \mathcal{D} , which turns the Ising model into a convenient testcase for studying the resolution of the methodology. However, it should be emphasised that this model choice does not relate to any inherent limitations of the identification methodology and is made mostly for computational convenience. The identification approach can be adopted to different materials outside of the Ising class simply by selecting the appropriate critical exponents and scaling functions (table 1).

The values of the critical exponents β , ν , scaling function $\tilde{\mu}$, and T_c^b required in equation (2) are available in the literature for a broad range of materials. Alternatively, they can be obtained from independent simulations of the critical behaviour. To demonstrate the procedure, the Monte-Carlo simulations of the zero-field Ising model were used here starting from the paramagnetic phase at high temperature T and proceeding to ferromagnetic phase at low temperatures in small decrements. At each temperature, the system was equilibrated during 10,000 Monte-Carlo sweeps and magnetisation M(T)computed by averaging the spins over the lattice.

Figure 1(b) shows the computed data for three Ising lattices of different size \mathcal{D} . The form of the scaling function $\tilde{\mu}$ can be found by collapsing these data through equation (7) using established techniques [25]. The resulting $\tilde{\mu}$ is shown in figure 1(d) and its empirical expression given in table 1. The scaling procedure also generated optimised numerical values $\beta = 0.150600 \pm 8 \times 10^{-6}, \nu = 1.0256 \pm 10^{-4}, \text{ and}$ $T_c^b = 2.2647583 \pm 5 \times 10^{-7}, \text{ which compare well with}$ the theoretical values in table 1. The procedure can also be applied to identify the critical exponents and scaling functions of previously unidentified materials. Alternatively, equation (2) can be fitted to m(T) data of a granular assembly directly without a priori knowledge of the critical parameters. However, this may lead to increased fit parameter correlation.

4. Discussion

As benchmark granular model system for validating equation (2), four ensembles of independent planar Ising spin lattices of circular shape imitating grains were considered (figure 1(a)). The diameters of grains \mathcal{D} were drawn from the lognormal grain size distribution (9) with $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle = 100$ spins and $\sigma_D / \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle =$ 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%. Each ensemble consisted of 95000 grains of varying size \mathcal{D} . The temperature dependence of magnetic moment, m(T), for any given ensemble with specific $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ was evaluated by using the Monte-Carlo method and by superimposing the contributions from each grain in the ensemble. Figure 2(a) shows examples of m(T) for granular ensembles with $\sigma_D / \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle = 10\%$ and 40%.

Equations (2) and (4) were least square fitted to the generated m(T) data. During the fitting procedure T_c^b , the critical exponents β and ν , and the scaling function $\tilde{\mu}$ of the Ising model were used (table 1). The parameters \tilde{t}_c and $\tilde{\sigma}_{t_c}$ of $f_t(t_c)$ were considered to be the only fit parameters varied during the fitting procedure, which were then converted to $\langle T_c \rangle$ and σ_{T_c} . Figure 2(b) shows the fitted $\sigma_{T_c}/\langle T_c \rangle$ plotted as a function of reference $\sigma_{T_c}/\langle T_c \rangle$ obtained by binning the values of \mathcal{D} and finding the average value of $T_c(\mathcal{D})$ of the susceptibility peaks associated with each bin. The resulting trend deviates from the expected result, represented by the diagonal dashed line, which suggests strong parameter correlation between the \tilde{t}_c and $\tilde{\sigma}_{t_c}$ during the fitting.

during the fitting. Combining $\tilde{t}_c = -\tilde{D}/\nu$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{t_c} = \tilde{\sigma}_D/\nu$ gives $\tilde{\sigma}_{t_c}/\tilde{t}_c = -\tilde{\sigma}_D/\tilde{D}$, which after using the standard properties of lognormal distributions (see the discussion of equations (4) and (9)) leads to the arithmetic form:

$$\sigma_{t_c}^2 = \langle t_c \rangle^2 \left(\left(1 + \sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^2 / \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^2 \right)^{1/\nu^2} - 1 \right)$$
(10)

Applying formula (10) simultaneously with equations (2) and (4) during the fitting procedure now allows unambiguous identification of the T_c distribution as shown in figure 2(b). Given that information about the granular or particle size distributions such as $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ is typically available from independent experiments [15, 26–28], the introduction of the constraint in (10) does not pose any conceptual challenge.

The identification approach developed here has been based strictly on the zero field analysis, which is when genuine second order phase transitions can be observed in bulk systems. In recent experiments the T_c distribution has been associated with measurements



Figure 2. (a) m(T) data for an ensemble of Ising-like grains for two different $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}/\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$. Inset: Magnified view of the crossing point. (b) The $\sigma_{T_c}/\langle T_c \rangle$ obtained from fitting equation (2) and (4) (unconstrained fit), and including equation (10) (constrained fit), to M(T) data such as shown in (a), plotted as a function of $\sigma_{T_c}/\langle T_c \rangle$ obtained by histogramming the values of \mathcal{D} and finding the value of $T_c(\mathcal{D})$ corresponding to the susceptibility peaks of each bin. Error bars correspond to a 95% confidence interval.

of the so-called switching temperature distribution in HAMR media [5,6]. The measurement principle, based on spatially resolved tracking of temperatures at which grains reverse, required significant reversal magnetic field to bring the frequency of sub-critical thermal fluctuations into the time window of the measurement apparatus. This brings about the question of the effect of external magnetic fields in extracting the genuine T_c distributions.

The effect of the applied field H can be studied by considering simple mean-field theory, where the magnetisation of a single grain is represented as $M(H,T) = \tanh((VM_sH + J_{mf}M)/k_BT), \text{ with } J_{mf}$ being the mean-field interaction (Weiss molecular field) in the units of energy. Setting H = 0, the critical temperature of a grain can be found to be $T_c = J_{mf}/k_B$, and $|M| \leq 1$ for T < T_c , whereas M = 0 for $T > T_c$. Taking the peak of the derivative dM(H,T)/dT as an estimate of the fictitious *H*-dependent Curie temperature T_c^h of a grain, differentiating and arranging gives $T_c^h = T_c + (J_{mf}^{-1} V M_s M_{peak} H) T_c$, where M_{peak} is the magnetisation associated with the peak at T_c^h . Solving this equation together with the mean-field formula for $M(H, T_c^h)$, assuming small magnetisation $|M| \ll 1$, and expanding the solution to the first order gives $T_c^h \approx T_c + q |H| T_c$, where the constant $q = J_{mf}^{-1} V M_s$.

Then, averaging over a statistical ensemble of grains allows to express the mean and standard

deviation of the T_c distribution as $\langle T_c^h \rangle = \langle T_c \rangle + q |H| \langle T_c \rangle$ and $\sigma_c^h = \sigma_c + q |H| \sigma_c$. Although these simple calculations based on the mean-field theory inherently do not incorporate thermal fluctuations and finite size effects included in equation (7), they qualitatively suggest that the presence of external field leads to apparent shift and broadening of the T_c distribution. This is consistent with experimental reports overestimating the zero-field theoretical calculations [7–9, 13].

5. Conclusions

The developed approach based on least-square fitting a typical experimentally measurable m(T) dependence by expression (2), possibly with constraint (10), has been demonstrated to allow for the extraction of the T_c distribution in non-interacting magnetic particle assemblies. The constraining relation (10) might prove unnecessary in general materials with more pronounced dependence of m(T) on $\sigma_D/\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$.

Most of the similar previously developed T_c distribution identification techniques assume bulk relations for describing thermodynamic state functions near the T_c [7–10], and thereby cannot systematically incorporate the finite size effects of grains. Validating these techniques against a theoretically consistent physical picture, such as the underlying approach presented in this article, goes beyond the scope of the present work and will require consideration in the future.

The present approach can be systematically adapted to different materials by specifying relevant critical exponents and scaling functions. These can be found in broad literature or, alternatively, obtained through independent experiments or simulations of simplified models in the same universality class by using the finite size scaling procedure illustrated here based on the Ising model. The methodology can be consistently extended to account for the anisotropy distributions and effects of surface disorder by incorporating non-universal corrections in equation (7).It can also be extended to include external magnetic field through generalised forms of the fielddependent scaling functions. In addition, the given derivation of key formulas presents a recipe for including the effects of inter-granular interactions, such as demagnetizing fields. This thus opens prospects for developing identification methodologies for broad class of granular and particulate systems.

6. Acknowledgements

In the completion of this work, we acknowledge financial support from the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training grant EP/L015382/1. We also acknowledge the use of the IRIDIS High Performance Computing Facility, and associated support services at the University of Southampton.

All data supporting this study are openly available from the University of Southampton repository at https://doi.org/10.5258/SOTON/D0165.

References

- Poudyal N and Liu J P 2013 Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 46 043001
- [2] Weller D, Parker G, Mosendz O, Champion E, Stipe B, Wang X, Klemmer T, Ju G and Ajan A 2014 *IEEE* transactions on magnetics 50 3100108
- [3] Périgo E A, Hemery G, Sandre O, Ortega D, Garaio E, Plazaola F and Teran F J 2015 Applied Physics Reviews 2 041302
- [4] Obaidat I M, Issa B and Haik Y 2015 Nanomaterials 5 63 ISSN 2079-4991
- [5] Pisana S, Jain S, Reiner J W, Mosendz O, Parker G J, Staffaroni M, Hellwig O and Stipe B C 2015 IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 51 1–5 ISSN 0018-9464
- [6] Pisana S, Jain S, Reiner J W, Parker G J, Poon C C, Hellwig O and Stipe B C 2014 Applied Physics Letters 104 162407
- [7] Chernyshov A, Treves D, Le T, Papusoi C, Yuan H, Ajan A and Acharya R 2013 Magnetics, IEEE Transactions on 49 3572–3575 ISSN 0018-9464
- [8] Campillo G, Berger A, Osorio J, Pearson J, Bader S, Baca E and Prieto P 2001 Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 237 61–68
- Berger A, Campillo G, Vivas P, Pearson J, Bader S, Baca E and Prieto P 2002 Journal of Applied Physics 91 8393– 8395
- [10] Ju G, Peng Y, Chang E K C, Ding Y, Wu A Q, Zhu X, Kubota Y, Klemmer T J, Amini H, Gao L, Fan Z, Rausch T, Subedi P, Ma M, Kalarickal S, Rea C J, Dimitrov D V, Huang P W, Wang K, Chen X, Peng C, Chen W, Dykes J W, Seigler M A, Gage E C, Chantrell R and Thiele J U 2015 *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics* **51** ISSN 00189464
- [11] Yeomans J M 1992 Statistical mechanics of phase transitions (Oxford University Press)
- [12] Fisher M and Barber M 1972 Phys. Rev. Lett. 28 1516–1519 ISSN 0031-9007
- [13] Hovorka O, Devos S, Coopman Q, Fan W, Aas C, Evans R, Chen X, Ju G and Chantrell R 2012 Applied Physics Letters 101 052406
- [14] Wang J, Wu W, Zhao F and Zhao G M 2011 Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics 84 ISSN 10980121
- [15] Rong C b, Li D, Nandwana V, Poudyal N, Ding Y, Wang Z L, Zeng H and Liu J P 2006 Advanced Materials 18 2984–2988
- [16] Henkel M, Andrieu S, Bauer P and Piecuch M 1998 Physical review letters 80 4783
- [17] Lyberatos A, Weller D, Parker G and Stipe B 2012 Journal of Applied Physics 112 113915
- [18] Papoulis A and Pillai S U 2002 Probability, random variables, and stochastic processes (Tata McGraw-Hill Education)
- [19] Goldenfeld N 1992 Lectures on Phase Transitions and the Renormalization Group Frontiers in physics (Addison-Wesley, Advanced Book Program) ISBN 9780201554090
- [20] Heermann D W and Binder K 2010 Monte Carlo

Simulation in Statistical Physics (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg)

- [21] Aharoni A 2000 Introduction to the Theory of Ferromagnetism vol 109 (Clarendon Press)
- [22] Holm C and Janke W 1993 Physical Review B 48 936–950 ISSN 01631829 (Preprint 9301002)
- [23] Note that or systems with grains having non-colinear magnetization \vec{M} a similar scaling function formalism can be applied to the modulus $|\vec{M}|$.
- [24] Privman V 1990 Finite size scaling and numerical simulation of statistical systems (World Scientific Singapore)
- [25] Bhattacharjee S M and Seno F 2001 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 34 6375
- [26] Mosendz O, Pisana S, Reiner J W, Stipe B and Weller D 2012 Journal of Applied Physics 111 3–8 ISSN 00218979
- [27] Zhang L, Takahashi Y K, Hono K, Stipe B C, Juang J Y and Grobis M 2011 Journal of Applied Physics 109 2–5 ISSN 00218979
- [28] Pisana S, Mosendz O, Parker G J, Reiner J W, Santos T S, McCallum A T, Richter H J and Weller D 2013 Journal of Applied Physics 113 ISSN 00218979