

¹ In Situ Tracking of Colloidally Stable and Ordered Assemblies of ² Gold Nanorods

³ Dorota Grzelak, Piotr Szustakiewicz, Christopher Tollan, Sanoj Raj, Petr Král,* Wiktor Lewandowski,* ⁴ and Luis M. Liz-Marzán*



19 clusters. Structural details and the forces governing the disassembly process are revealed with single particle resolution as well as in 20 bulk samples, by combined experimental and theoretical modeling. In particular, this study provides unique information on the 21 evolution of the orientational order of nanorods within side-to-side 2D assemblies and shows that both electrostatic (at the 22 nanoscale) and thermal (in bulk) stimuli can be used to drive the process. These results not only give insight into the interactions 23 between nanorods and the stability of their assemblies, thereby assisting the design of ordered, anisotropic nanomaterials but also 24 broaden the available toolbox for in situ tracking of nanoparticle behavior at the single particle level.

25 INTRODUCTION

26 Ordered assemblies of gold nanorods (AuNRs) offer 27 extraordinary properties with potential applications in various 28 technologies, such as chemical and biological sensing,¹⁻³ in 29 vivo medical studies,^{4,5} catalysis,⁶⁻⁸ data storage,⁹ and 30 optoelectronics.¹⁰ The main reason for such a broad 31 applicability is that these materials translate the anisotropic 32 functionality of single particles into micro/macro-(ensemble) 33 scale anisotropy. However, building ordered structures out of 34 AuNRs still poses a significant challenge, due to our limited 35 knowledge regarding the dynamics of the self-assembly 36 process. This limitation largely results from our current 37 inability, either to monitor in situ the behavior of thousands 38 of nanoparticles at the macro-scale (e.g., via UV/vis spectros- $_{39}$ copy)¹¹ or to take ex situ snapshots at the single particle level 40 from static structures (e.g. via electron microscopy).¹² 41 Development of methods for in situ monitoring the behavior 42 of single AuNRs in solution should bring us closer to a 43 complete understanding of the self-assembly mechanism and 44 achieving full control over this process.

18 direct evidence of the colloidal stability of side-to-side nanorod

45 In situ electron microscopy (EM) observation of nano-46 particle dispersions under dynamic conditions has recently allowed for real-time visualization of chemical and physical ⁴⁷ events at the single nanoparticle level. Some remarkable ⁴⁸ examples include the observation of how spherical¹³ and ⁴⁹ anisotropic nanoparticles grow,¹⁴ nanoparticle surface oxida- ⁵⁰ tion,¹⁵ or dehydrogenation.¹⁶ More recently, in situ EM has ⁵¹ also been used to investigate self-assembly processes. It ⁵² allowed, e.g., to analyze the influence and contribution of ⁵³ hydrophobic,¹⁷ van der Waals,¹⁸ and electrostatic forces¹⁹ on ⁵⁴ the kinetics and the outcome of the self-assembly process. ⁵⁵ However, most of the reported in situ investigations are ⁵⁶ concerned with the assembly of spherical nanoparticles, and ⁵⁷ the acquired knowledge cannot be directly translated to the ⁵⁸ self-assembly principles of anisotropic nanoparticles, since the ⁵⁹ latter exhibit anisotropic interactions and yield complex 3D ⁶⁰

Received: June 14, 2020 Published: September 29, 2020



Α





Figure 1. Synthesis and characterization of AuNRs. (a) Scheme of the ligand exchange reaction performed on AuNR@CTAB, to obtain AuNR@ MUDOL. (b) Representative TEM image of horizontally deposited AuNR@CTAB assemblies. (c) Representative TEM image of vertical AuNR@ MUDOL assemblies. (d) UV/vis spectra of AuNR@CTAB, AuNR@MUDOL directly after ligand exchange and 2 h after completing the ligand exchange reaction; spectra were normalized at 400 nm. (e) FDTD-modeled UV/vis spectra for AuNR assemblies comprising two, three, seven, and 19 parallel nanorods.

 61 architectures with orientational order, as well as potential 62 polymorphic behavior. $^{20-22}$

Recent reports have focused on in situ imaging of the self-63 64 assembly of anisotropic nanoparticles, providing insight into 65 the behavior of nanocubes,¹⁷ branched nanooctapods,²³ and 66 nanoprisms.^{24,25} In the case of NRs two reports on in situ 67 imaging should be highlighted. Alivisatos et al.26 analyzed 68 trajectories of hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide 69 (CTAB)-coated AuNRs, revealing long-range and highly 70 anisotropic electrostatic repulsion forces that lead to tip-71 selective nanorod attachment. Mirsaidov et al.²⁷ followed the 72 assembly of cysteamine-coated AuNRs at different concen-73 trations of linker molecules, leading to the selective formation 74 of either tip-to-tip or side-to-side clusters. These reports 75 confirm that studying anisotropic nanoparticle interactions at 76 the single particle level provides useful information on their 77 self-assembly. However, these reports focus only on the 78 formation of 1D, few-nanoparticle clusters. Of more general 79 interest but also significantly more challenging is the in situ 80 characterization of assembly and disassembly processes within 81 larger, long-range-ordered assemblies of higher dimensionality. 82 An interesting example in this direction has been recently reported for the case of triangular Au nanoprisms, which 83 revealed new information on the crystallization of such 84 nanoparticles, further confirming the importance of single- 85 particle level observations of the self-assembly of anisotropic 86 nanoparticles.²⁵ This is especially important regarding vertical 87 assemblies of nanorods.^{10,12} Although early in situ EM studies 88 enabled the observation of large, vertical assemblies of AuNRs, 89 the time scale of the experiments did not allow the observation 90 of single particle events or any qualitative and/or quantitative 91 analysis of the behavior in solution.²⁸ 92

In this work, we demonstrate the use of environmental 93 scanning transmission electron microscopy (WetSTEM²⁸) to 94 characterize in situ the dynamics of relatively large, 2D, vertical 95 assemblies of AuNRs in the wet state. We were able to monitor 96 the dynamics of single nanoparticles and track the self- 97 assembly and disassembly pathways, for orientationally ordered 98 AuNR aggregates. By combining results of in situ EM, 99 atomistic modeling and ensemble measurements (small-angle 100 X-ray diffraction and UV/vis spectroscopy), we can describe 101 the colloidal stability of side-to-side organized nanorod clusters 102 in solution, thereby gathering insights into the self-assembly 103 mechanism. Additionally, we observe that AuNRs can preserve 104



Figure 2. Dynamic self-assembly of AuNR@MUDOL. (a) Photographs of an Eppendorf tube containing precipitated AuNR@MUDOL, 2 h after ligand exchange and the same vial after heating and sonication, evidencing redispersion of AuNR aggregates. (b) 1D SAXRD diffractogram of AuNR@MUDOL, collected at 30 °C. (c) Temperature evolution of SAXRD diffractograms for AuNR@MUDOL aggregates in a 30–150 °C temperature range; two distinct areas can be distinguished: low temperature phase (2D, hexagonal, 30–120 °C) and isotropic phase (120–150 °C).

105 their orientational order during disassembly and confirm 106 through both experiments and theoretical modeling that both 107 electrostatic and thermal stimuli can play a major role in the 108 process.

109 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

f1 f1

Synthesis and Static Characterization of AuNR@ 110 111 MUDOL Assemblies. Gold nanorods are arguably the most 112 widely used nonspherical nanoparticles, due to the relative 113 simplicity of their synthesis and to their outstanding plasmonic 114 properties. The AuNRs used in this work were synthesized by 115 following a seed-mediated method,²⁹ and synthetic details are 116 given in the Experimental Section. As-obtained AuNRs, 52 × 117 16 nm (Supporting Information, Figure S1), were stabilized by CTAB (AuNR@CTAB).³⁰ Although some reports have 118 119 demonstrated the formation of 3D oriented arrays of 120 AuNR@CTAB,³¹ the formation and stability of extended 121 supercrystals is known to be enhanced upon exchanging CTAB 122 molecules with (1-mercaptoundec-11-yl)hexa(ethylene glycol) 123 (MUDOL) ligands (Figure 1a).^{32,33} AuNR@MUDOL have 124 lower surface charge and display lower colloidal stability in 125 aqueous dispersions as compared to AuNR@CTAB, and as a 126 consequence, stronger side-to-side interactions have been 127 proposed to lead to parallel aggregation and subsequent 128 deposition as vertical assemblies (induced by the Marangoni 129 effect).¹⁰ Under the same experimental conditions, we 130 confirmed that TEM images of drop-casted AuNR@CTAB resulted in horizontally oriented AuNR assemblies (Figure 1b), 131 whereas for AuNR@MUDOL, multiple vertically oriented 132 133 AuNR domains were evidenced (Figure 1c). The measured 134 center-to-center distance between nanorods within the 135 observed vertical domains was ~20 nm, which is reasonable 136 given the diameter of AuNRs (~16 nm) and the thickness of 137 the organic coating $(\sim 2 \text{ nm})$.¹² To further confirm successful 138 ligand exchange, and to get insight into the colloidal behavior 139 of Au nanorods, we carried out UV/vis spectroscopy

measurements. AuNR@CTAB exhibit two localized surface 140 plasmon resonance (LSPR) bands, corresponding to transverse 141 and longitudinal modes, with maxima at 511 and 707 nm, 142 respectively, which are characteristic of noninteracting, well- 143 dispersed particles. After ligand exchange, the AuNR@ 144 MUDOL dispersion exhibits two maxima at 536 and 695 145 nm, suggesting the formation of colloidal AuNR clusters.³⁴ 146 When the same dispersion was measured 2 h after completing 147 ligand exchange, we observed a further blue shift and damping 148 of the longitudinal LSPR band, along with a redshift of the 149 transverse band, resulting in a single, broad band with a 150 maximum at 562 nm (Figure 1d). To understand the origin of 151 the observed changes in the plasmonic properties of AuNR@ 152 MUDOL, in terms of their assembly state, we performed 153 electromagnetic modeling of side-to-side ordered AuNR 154 clusters. Extinction spectra for AuNRs (with dimensions 155 corresponding to those used in the experiments) were modeled 156 using the finite difference time domain (FDTD) method (see 157 the Experimental Section for details).³⁵ We focused on five 158 different scenarios: a single AuNR and aggregates comprising 159 two, three, seven, and 19 AuNRs. As shown in Figure 1e, the 160 modeled spectra reveal a gradual blue-shift and broadening of 161 the LSPR band for a growing number of particles in the cluster. 162 This is in agreement with our experimental results (Figure 1d) 163 and with previous examples in the literature,³⁶ so we can 164 conclude that the observed changes in absorbance spectra for 165 AuNR@MUDOL result from the gradual aggregation of 166 nanorods into parallel clusters, in the aqueous phase. The 167 above-discussed results from bulk characterization of AuNR@ 168 MUDOL dispersions confirm their tendency to form side-to- 169 side assemblies in solution, which would result in the 170 formation of vertically aligned assemblies on a substrate. 171 Although the latter is known from the literature,^{10,12} the 172 reversibility of such an aggregation process has not been 173 reported. 174



Figure 3. WetSTEM characterization of AuNR@MUDOL. (a) Schematic model of vertically aligned AuNR@MUDOL and single nanoparticle displacement events. (b) WetSTEM images of an AuNR@MUDOL vertical aggregate, showing a single nanoparticle detaching from the aggregate. In the first three frames, we highlight an area containing three AuNRs; after 5 s one AuNR is seen to leave the aggregate (Movie S1). (c) Schematic representation of the observed AuNR@MUDOL behavior, displacement and movements of vertically ordered AuNR clusters. (d) WetSTEM images of an AuNR@MUDOL vertical aggregate, showing an example of AuNR cluster displacement; the apparent changes in cluster appearance are due to variations in the orientation of nanorods in respect to the observation direction; this effect evidence dynamic movements of small side-to-side, 2D ordered clusters (Movie S2).

Bulk Investigation of the Dynamic Self-Assembly of 175 176 AuNR@MUDOL. Compounds comprising polymeric and oligomeric ethylene glycol moieties are known to exhibit 177 thermoresponsive behavior.^{37–39} In the case of self-assembled 178 monolayers, a temperature increase can lead to change of intra-179 and intermolecular interactions and enable more efficient 180 181 interactions with water molecules.⁴⁰ Thus, when the formation 182 of a precipitate was observed by eye (Figure 2a) in an aged 183 sample of AuNR@MUDOL, we proceeded to heat it and 184 watch whether the nanoparticles get thereby redispersed. 185 Indeed, after heating for 10 min, we observed that the color of 186 the dispersion turned purple, similar to that observed right 187 after ligand exchange, thus confirming the reversibility of self-188 assembly. The time required to observe AuNR disassembly was 189 of only tens of seconds if sonication was used additionally to 190 heating. Importantly, our experiments confirm that the 191 assembly process can be reversed, however, after 3 consecutive 192 cycles of UV/vis measurements revealed a 4 nm redshift and 193 20% decrease of intensity of the longitudal LSPR band 194 (Supplementary Note 1).

f2.

To study in detail the reversibility of the self-assembly of 195 AuNR@MUDOL, we used small angle XRD (SAXRD). 196 AuNR@MUDOL were initially dispersed in water, which 197 intrinsically limited the temperature range for the measure- 198 ments. Therefore, we transferred AuNR@MUDOL into 199 glycerol, which has similar dielectric properties to water but 200 a higher boiling point. AuNR@MUDOL in glycerol was 201 allowed to precipitate and then carefully transferred to a glass 202 capillary for temperature-dependent SAXRD measurements. 203 We first collected 1D diffractograms at 30 °C (Figure 2b), 204 which revealed the presence of several Bragg peaks, indicating 205 the formation of long-range ordered assemblies. This pattern 206 was fitted using a 2D hexagonal unit cell, with a dimension 207 (nearest neighbor distance between nanoparticles) of ~22 nm. 208 This is slightly longer than the value derived from TEM ($\sim 20_{209}$ nm), in agreement with the dry state of the samples in TEM, 210 while glycerol as a solvent may infiltrate in between the 211 nanoparticles.⁴¹ Hexagonal packing of AuNRs within vertical 212 assemblies has been previously reported, for both monolayers 213 and complex, 3D smectic assemblies.¹² In our SAXRD 214 measurements, we did not observe peaks corresponding to 215

D



Figure 4. WetSTEM characterization of orientational order within AuNR@MUDOL aggregates. (a) Schematic model of the observed phenomena. (b,d,f) Time-lapse images from in situ WetSTEM recordings of the disassembly of an AuNR@MUDOL aggregate; AuNR clusters for which calculations of interparticle distances were performed are highlighted with red circles; green circles highlight tilted AuNRs, which lost their initial orientational correlation with respect to the rest of the cluster (Movies S6–S8). (c,e,g) Evolution of interparticle distance (surface-to-surface) within the clusters imaged in (b,d,f). Red dots represent distances between pairs of AuNRs, while the solid black line is the averaged value.

216 multilayer stacking of nanorods, but it should be noted that 217 this signal would appear at angles beyond the sensitivity of our 218 in-house SAXRD instrument.

We then performed temperature-dependent SAXRD meas-219 220 urements. The sample was heated from 30 °C up to 200 °C 221 and diffractograms were collected every 10 °C (Figure 2c). To 222 prevent heat-induced nanoparticle degradation, the acquisition time was kept relatively short (60 s), and therefore we focused 223 $_{224}$ on following the evolution of the main (10) Bragg peak, which 225 was visible up to ~135 °C. When approaching this 226 temperature, the peak intensity lowered, indicating a slow disintegration of the aggregates (lower nanoparticle correlation 2.2.7 length). Above 135 °C no sharp Bragg peaks were observed 228 229 but only a broad scattering around the primary beam, which 230 evidenced an isotropic distribution of nanoparticles in the 231 solvent, without orientational order. These results confirm our 232 initial bulk-scale observations.

Nanoscopic Investigation of the Dynamic Self- 233 Assembly of AuNR@MUDOL. To study the self-assembly 234 of AuNR@MUDOL in more detail, we employed in situ 235 electron microscopy imaging of the particles in a liquid. In 236 contrast to TEM-based methods for the examination in liquid, 237 WetSTEM does not require the use of microfluidic devices. We 238 simply drop-casted a dispersion of AuNR@MUDOL in water/ 239 glycerol (1:1 v/v) on top of a standard carbon-coated TEM 240 grid and placed it inside a precooled STEM device. The use of 241 a water/glycerol suspension ensured similar conditions to 242 those previously used in bulk SAXRD measurements. 243 However, a much lower temperature of 2 °C and a reduced 244 pressure of 700 Pa were used in WetSTEM experiments, which 245 made the comparison with bulk measurements less accurate. 246 As a first observation, we confirmed the tendency of AuNR@ 247 MUDOL to self-assemble into vertically oriented arrays 248 (Figure 3), in agreement with TEM imaging of samples 249 f3



Figure 5. Mean-field modeling of the interactions between AuNRs and MD simulations of stimuli-driven disassembly of small AuNR@MUDOL clusters. (a) Total interaction free energy ($E_{vdW} + E_C + E_{ligand-ligand}$, red dots) at 300 K between AuNRs (52 × 16 nm) with water as solvent and 130 e charge on the NRs. Solid lines are given for eye guidance. In the right inset two 5 nm-thick slices from 52 × 16 nm AuNRs at a surface distance of 3.6 nm are shown, which were considered in the MD simulation to estimate the ligand–ligand coupling. Solvent molecules are removed for clarity. (b) Total interaction free energy ($E_{vdW} + E_C + E_{ligand-ligand}$) at two different temperatures (27 and 150 °C), between AuNRs (52 × 16 nm) in glycerol, assuming 130 e charge on the NRs. Solid lines are given for eye guidance. (c) Corner-view of small AuNRs fully submerged in glycerol at 25 °C. (d) Disassembly of small neutral AuNRs at high temperature (150 °C) in glycerol (snapshots from Movie S9). (e) Time evolution of distances between AuNRs (surface-to-surface) within an AuNR cluster at high temperature (150 °C) in glycerol (data corresponding to the simulation shown in panel d). Blue dots represent distances between pairs of AuNRs, while the solid black line is the averaged value. (inset) The same time-dependent averaged distances are shown separately for the top and bottom ends of AuNRs. (f) Corner-view of the small AuNRs on the surface of water at 25 °C. (g) Disassembly of small charged (50 e) AuNRs in bulk water at 25 °C (snapshots form Movie S10). (h) Time evolution of the distances between charged AuNRs (surface-to-surface) within an AuNR cluster in bulk water at 25 °C. (inset) The same time-dependent averaged distances are shown separately for the top and bottom ends of AuNRs. (f) Corner-view of the small AuNRs on the surface of water at 25 °C. (g) Disassembly of small charged (50 e) AuNRs in bulk water at 25 °C. (inset) The same time-dependent averaged distances are shown separately for the top and bottom ends of AuNR

250 prepared at ambient pressure. Second, we consistently 251 observed fluctuations of the nanoparticles within the 252 solvent-trembling, twisting, assembling, and disassemblingoften similar to previous reports based on the in situ TEM 253 method.²⁷ Third, we could monitor the displacement of 254 individual nanorods (see schematic drawings in Figure 3a, still 255 images from WetSTEM recordings in Figure 3b, and Movie S1 256 in the Supporting Information), in particular those which were 257 258 located at the edges of vertical aggregates. These observations 259 correlate well with the bulk-scale observations of thermally 260 driven disassembly for AuNR@MUDOL aggregates and 261 confirm that we can analyze the process with single-particle

precision. In summary, we can safely assume that the 262 WetSTEM experimental conditions are suitable to monitor 263 the dynamic behavior of Au nanorods, which is similar to their 264 macroscale behavior. 265

Close observation of the vertical assemblies of AuNR@ 266 MUDOL allowed us to register, apart from the displacement of 267 individual AuNRs, the detachment, and movement of side-to- 268 side ordered clusters comprising tens of nanoparticles (Figure 269 3c,d, and Movie S2). Importantly, despite the clusters' dynamic 270 behavior (twisting/turning, finally "swimming" away), AuNRs 271 therein maintained their initial orientational order. Schematic 272 models and images from three such events are shown in Figure 273 274 3c, d (see more examples in the SI, Figure S3a-b and SI Movies 275 S3–S4). This is a striking in situ observation of the colloidal 276 behavior of ordered, relatively large (more than 10 nano-277 particles) AuNR clusters. The colloidal stability of such 278 clusters is in agreement with the above UV/vis spectra (Figure 279 1d) and with the proposed mechanism for the formation of 280 vertical AuNR assemblies, in which side-to-side aggregates are 281 first formed, followed by precipitation from the dispersion and 282 arrangement on a substrate. This behavior also indicates that in 283 our experimental conditions the solvent layer is thick enough 284 to fully cover vertically aligned AuNRs.

We thus conclude that observations of the dynamic behavior 285 286 of AuNRs in the wet state, at the bulk- and the nanoscale, can 287 be correlated. In both cases, disassembly of AuNR aggregates 288 can be monitored, even though the underlying principles differ. 289 In the SAXRD measurements, disassembly is induced by an 290 increase in temperature (thermal energy), whereas in 291 WetSTEM measurements electrostatic interactions (electron 292 beam induced charging of the sample) are likely to provide the 293 main driving force for disassembly, since electron beam induced heating effects are negligible^{42,43} (Supplementary 294 295 Note 2). Given the observed similarities, we investigated the 296 disassembly process of AuNR vertical aggregates from 297 WetSTEM movies. We noted a gradual increase in the 298 distance between AuNRs, under the influence of the electron 299 beam. AuNRs were consistently seen to drift apart from each 300 other prior to rapid disassembly of the clusters into individual 301 AuNRs. Although a detailed analysis of this process within a 302 moving cluster (previously discussed) proved challenging, we 303 could monitor the evolution of larger assemblies.^{44,45}

Therefore, we focused on disassembly events occurring in 304 305 2D vertical assemblies, for which AuNRs keep their orientation 306 with respect to the electron beam axis. To minimize differences 307 between observations of separate events we identified 308 assemblies comprising well-ordered clusters made of seven 309 hexagonally arranged nanorods. A schematic model for the 310 process and selected time-lapse frames from sample recordings 311 are shown in Figure 4. These in situ WetSTEM observations 312 allowed us to draw two main conclusions. First, the process of 313 increasing interparticle spacing can be commonly observed in 314 the prepared samples. Second, after reaching a critical 315 interparticle distance, AuNRs start to tremble rapidly and 316 end up losing orientational correlation within their original 317 cluster (Figure 4, multiple such events can be found in Movie 318 S5). We can, therefore, image the evolution of orientational 319 order in clusters of anisotropic nanoparticles, using an in situ 320 EM method. To get a more detailed picture, we quantified our 321 observations by monitoring 2D, hexagonally close-packed 322 structures and calculated the mean separation distance 323 (surface-to-surface) between a central AuNR and six 324 surrounding neighbors forming a 2D hexagonal close-packed 325 structure in the initial state (Figure 4b,d,f and Movies S6-S8). 326 The starting distance, 3–5 nm (Figure 4c,e,g), correlates well 327 with the interparticle distances calculated from SAXRD 328 measurements after subtracting the AuNRs diameter. Under 329 the electron beam, we witnessed an increase of interparticle 330 distance within seconds, accompanied by trembling of AuNRs, 331 while their average positional order was maintained. The loss 332 of orientational correlation between AuNRs was observed at 333 interparticle distances of 7-12 nm, which are slightly larger 334 than twice the length of an extended MUDOL ligand. 335 Although several factors, such as the presence of neighboring 336 AuNRs, electron beam intensity (at different imaging magnifications), and thickness of the solvent layer, may 337 influence the distance at which positional order is lost, the 338 values estimated on the basis of different recordings are in 339 good agreement. 340

To understand better the self-assembly and disassembly of $_{341}$ the experimental AuNR@MUDOL, we performed hybrid $_{342}$ multiscale (MS) modeling of large AuNRs (52×16 nm) and $_{343}$ separate molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of the $_{344}$ disassembly dynamics in small model AuNRs@MUDOL (13 $_{345} \times 4$ nm). $_{346}$

MS modeling in Figure S4a shows that the large AuNRs 347 have a significant bulk vdW attraction, giving a potential well of 348 \sim 22 kcal/mol at their surface distances of 3 -4 nm. In contrast, 349 small AuNRs have a rather small vdW barrier of a few kcal/ 350 mol, which means that their short-range attraction mostly 351 originates from ligand-ligand coupling. These results suggest 352 that AuNRs should self-assemble in water at room temperature 353 due to bulk vdW coupling, and it is unlikely that they would 354 separate without additional repulsion, discussed below. 355

In Figure S4a, we show the coupling potential energies of 356 large AuNRs with 10 to 130 electron charges per NR. 357

Figure S4b shows that the repulsive Coulombic coupling 358 between large charged NRs can decrease the above vdW 359 barrier. To examine how the potential energy wells shown in 360 Figure S4b change when ligand–ligand coupling is included, 361 we performed molecular modeling of large AuNRs coated with 362 MUDOL ligands. The ligand–ligand coupling free energy 363 between two AuNR at different surface distances (2.4–5.4 364 nm), submerged in both water and glycerol, were calculated 365 using solvation free energy calculation with MM/GBSA. For a 366 given NRs distance, the ligand–ligand coupling energies 367 depend on the ligand density and the NRs spatial overlap. 368 For simplicity, the coupling energies are calculated from 5 nm 369 slices of two 52×16 nm AuNRs solvated in water (T = 300 K) 370 and glycerol (T = 300 and 423 K), as shown in Figure 5a (inset 371 fs on the right). 372

Figure 5a shows the total interaction free energies (E_{vdW} + 373 $E_{C} + E_{ligand-ligand}$) of AuNRs (with 130 e charge) submerged in 374 water at 27 °C (300 K). In this case, a potential well of ~220 375 kcal/mol is detected, which needs to be overcome for the 376 disassembly process to occur. In Figure 5b, we also show 377 calculated total potential energies for AuNRs submerged in 378 glycerol. Note that the potential energy well of the system at 379 150 °C is reduced by 25%, as compared to the system at 27 °C. 380 This clearly indicates a temperature-induced entropic repulsion 381 of the ligands, which can promote disassembly. 382

To examine more closely the disassembly dynamics of 383 AuNRs, we performed MD simulations of a hexagonal cluster 384 made of seven small model AuNR@MUDOL, while neglecting 385 their bulk vdW coupling (Supplementary Note 3 and Figure 386 S5). The simulations were performed at different temperatures 387 and in different solvents. 388

The experimental AuNR@MUDOL solvate better in 389 glycerol, where they disassemble above 135 °C. The 390 disassembly AuNRs might be promoted by entropic effects 391 and NRs charging, leading to a relatively strong repulsion in 392 glycerol. On the other hand, small neutral AuNRs, with partly 393 hydrophobic ligands self-assemble at 25 °C on the water 394 surface, with their tips partly exposed and a surface-to-surface 395 distance of 3 nm, stabilized by ligand—ligand coupling. This 396 AuNR arrangement was used as the starting point for our 397 stimuli-driven disassembly simulations in which we heated the 398 system or charged the small AuNRs to understand their 399 400 disassembly dynamics and compare it with the experimental 401 results (Supplementary Notes 4–6).

We simulated small neutral AuNRs@MUDOL submerged in 403 glycerol at 25 and 150 °C (Supplementary Note 4). Whereas 404 in the former case we did not observe disassembly of AuNRs 405 (Figure S6), in the latter (after 28 ns) we did observe their 406 gradual disassembly (Figure 5d and Movie S9). Figure 5e 407 shows that the distance between AuNRs increases from \sim 3.0 408 to 4.3 nm. From the experimental point of view, the increased 409 separation distance (5 nm) at higher temperatures (due to 410 entropic ligand repulsion) could be sufficiently advantageous 411 (energetically) for them to overcome the combined vdW and 412 Coulombic barrier (Figure S4b). Larger thermal fluctuations 413 also participate in this process, which can be seen from the 414 evolution of interparticle distances between the top and 415 bottom parts of the nanorods (inset, Figure Se).

Finally, we addressed the effect of AuNR charging on the 416 417 self-assembly process. Therefore, we simulated the process for 418 in situ WetSTEM experiments, where we would not expect 419 heating of the solution, so we could investigate the disassembly 420 of AuNRs induced by e-beam-induced charging (Supplemen-421 tary Note 5). When considering a charge of 20 e per small 422 AuNR@MUDOL partly or fully submerged in water, no 423 disassembly was observed (Figure S7a-d). However, when 424 charged with 50 e per AuNR@MUDOL, for the fully 425 submerged case, gradual disassembly occurred (Figure 5g 426 and Movie S10). Their average surface-to-surface distance $_{427}$ increased from the initial ~3.0 up to 6.1 nm (Figure 5h), while 428 trembling similarly to our in situ experimental observations 429 (inset, Figure 5h). The AuNRs orientation became more 430 disorganized when their separations became roughly twice the 431 ligand length. A similar outcome was observed for AuNRs with 432 the same 50 e charge, which were partially submerged in water 433 (Figure S7e,f). In this case, a radial, more symmetrical path 434 toward AuNRs disassembly was evidenced, which allows 435 AuNRs to keep a hexagonal order during disassembly. In 436 contrast, a more chaotic behavior was evidenced for fully 437 submerged AuNRs, in which the hexagonal order is lost. The 438 latter was observed in real electron microscopy measurements, 439 suggesting that we could probe the dynamics of assemblies that 440 were fully submerged in the solvent. To maximize the 441 generality of our theoretical framework, we performed 442 additional simulations of AuNR@MUDOL partly or fully 443 submerged in water at 100 °C and observed that these systems 444 did disassemble (Supplementary Note 6, Figure S8a-d, and 445 Table S1). We should keep in mind that despite the dynamics 446 of experimental (large) and MD-simulated (small) NRs are 447 qualitatively similar at the same temperatures and in the same 448 solvents, the disassembly time scales and necessary NRs 449 charging might be much larger in the experimental (large) 450 NRs. That can be clearly expected from the deep potential 451 wells shown in Figure 5 a,b.

452 It is intriguing to think that, in the context of the 453 experimentally confirmed reversibility of the disassembly 454 process (Figure 2), reversing time in our recordings would 455 enable us to get insight into the assembly process—assembly of 456 single particles as well as clusters of ordered AuNRs 457 (Supporting Information, Movies S11–S12), which is similar 458 to the pre- and postattachment alignment pathways²⁷ 459 previously reported in the literature for side-to-side assemblies 460 of AuNRs. 461

483

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we carried out a comprehensive study of the 462 (stimuli-responsive) self-assembly of gold nanorods function- 463 alized with MUDOL ligands, into vertical arrays. In situ 464 WetSTEM imaging provided us with a unique, direct insight 465 into this process, showing strong evidence (formation of 466 vertical arrays, and movements of AuNRs) that in situ 467 observations at the single particle level are in agreement with 468 bulk measurements. Notably, we obtained direct proof of the 469 colloidal stability of side-to-side, 2D assemblies of AuNRs, 470 even when in motion. Our approach also allowed us to 471 monitor the disassembly process, which first proceeds with 472 AuNRs keeping orientational order, subsequently losing order 473 after separating to distances larger than double the length of 474 MUDOL ligands. Multiscale modeling enabled us to in silico 475 recreate the thermal- and charge-driven disassembly of AuNRs 476 and to analyze these processes in the context of vdW, 477 Coulombic, and thermal interactions between AuNRs. In 478 conclusion, this work highlights the versatility of the 479 WetSTEM imaging technique for in situ EM studies of NP 480 dynamics and provides insight into the stability orientationally 481 ordered NR assemblies. 482

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. All chemicals were used as received, with no further 484 purification. Gold(III) chloride hydrate (HAuCl₄·H₂O, 99.995% trace 485 metals basis), hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 486 \geq 98%), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄, powder \geq 98%), silver nitrate 487 (AgNO₃, ACS reagent, \geq 99.0%), 1-mercaptoundec-11-yl)hexa- 488 (ethylene glycol (MUDOL, 90%), and glycerol (ACS reagent, 489 \geq 99.5%), were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Milli-Q water 490 (resistivity 18.2 M Ω cm at 25 °C) was used in all experiments. All 491 glassware was washed in aqua regia.

Synthesis of Gold Nanorods. AuNR@CTAB. Gold nanorods 493 were prepared following a previously reported seed-mediated 494 method.²⁹ In the first step, seeds were prepared as follows: $25 \ \mu$ L 495 of a 0.05 M HAuCl₄ solution was added to 4.7 mL of 0.1 M CTAB 496 solution and, after 5 min, 300 μ L of a 0.01 M NaBH₄ (freshly 497 prepared) solution was injected under vigorous stirring. Then, 120 μ L 498 of seed solution was injected to the growth solution containing CTAB 499 100 mM, HAuCl₄ 0.5 mM, AgNO₃ 0.04 mM and ascorbic acid 0.75 500 mM (total volume: 10 mL). The reaction was allowed to proceed 501 undisturbed for 2 h at 30 °C. The obtained AuNRs were on average 502 51 nm inside length and 15 nm in thickness.

Ligand Exchange on AuNRs. AuNR@MUDOL. A total of 1 mL 504 of MUDOL solution (0.5 mM) was added to 10 mL of Au NRs 505 dispersion (1 mg/mL) in 5 mM CTAB. The reaction mixture was 506 sonicated for 30 min and left under mild stirring overnight. Then, the 507 solution was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min and the precipitate 508 was redispersed in 2 mL of 5 mM CTAB solution. To transfer 509 AuNR@MUDOL to glycerol, the aqueous dispersion was centrifuged 510 at 3000 rpm for 10 min, and the precipitate redispersed in glycerol 511 under sonication. 512

Assemblies of Nanorods. For structural analysis, AuNR@ 513 MUDOL precipitate was placed in a glass capillary for small angle 514 X – ray diffraction (SAXRD) analysis. Measurements were performed 515 with a Bruker Nanostar system (Cu K α radiation, parallel beam 516 formed by cross-coupled Goebel mirrors, and a 3-pinhole collimation 517 system, VANTEC 2000 area z detector). Fitting of the obtained 518 diffractogram and simulation of the patterns was performed with 519 Topas 3 software (Bruker). Transmission electron microscopy 520 analysis of AuNRs was performed using TEM model JEM–1400 521 (JEOL, Japan), available in Nencki Institute of Experimental Biology, 522 Laboratory of electron microscopy. Samples were prepared by drop-523 casting small aliquots of the as obtained dispersions of AuNRs onto 524 TEM grid, then left to dry under ambient conditions. Spectroscopy in 525 526 the UV-vis range studies was performed using GENESYS 50 UV-vis 527 spectrophotometer, available at University of Warsaw.

Modeling Plasmonic Properties of AuNR Assemblies. The 529 Finite-Difference Time-Domain (FDTD) method30 (Lumerical 530 Solutions, Inc.) was used to model the optical properties of single 531 Au NRs and small clusters thereof. All simulations were performed in 532 water (refractive index 1.33). The nanorod dimensions were selected 533 to fit the experimental sample. Dielectric data for gold were obtained 534 by fitting experimental data from Johnson and Christy⁴⁷ (0.220 RMS 535 error). All simulations were terminated after reaching a shutoff level of 536 10^{-6} .

In Situ Electron Microscopy (WetSTEM) Observations. The 537 538 wet scanning transmission electron microscopy (WetSTEM) system is 539 tailored for high-resolution imaging under conditions of mild pressure 540 and provides the opportunity for in situ dynamic imaging of liquid 541 samples. We used a QUANTA SEM 250 FEG/FEI, equipped with a 542 field emission gun (FEG) system, containing detectors tailored for 543 scanning and transmission imaging in bright- and dark-field. The grid 544 holder is designed for standard 3 mm TEM grids. Furthermore, it 545 provides the possibility to fully control the conditions in the specimen 546 chamber, in terms of temperature and pressure. Our observations were usually carried out at 2 °C, with pressure between 700-1000 Pa, 547 548 at a landing voltage of 30 kV and low electron flux ranging from 2 to 549 17e $(Å^2 s)^{-1}$ (Supplementary Note 2), which makes the effect of 550 water radiolysis negligible.^{48,49} Since the study was performed on TEM grids, we minimized the impact of electrons backscattered from 551 552 the support, which could be expected in a regular SEM setup. In a 553 typical experiment, to 100 μ L of dispersion of AuNR@MUDOL was 554 added 15 µL of glycerol. Then, an aliquot of the mixture was drop-555 casted onto a TEM grid previously fixed on the cold (0 °C) WetSEM 556 holder, to make sure that water would not evaporate before closing 557 the equipment and lowering the pressure. After equilibration of the 558 grid for 1 min, the specimen chamber was sealed and the pressure 559 inside was quickly lowered to 1200 Pa and then slowly (10 Pa step) 560 lowered to 700 Pa, to acquire optimal conditions for imaging (lowest 561 obtainable pressure with water remaining in the liquid state on top of 562 the TEM grid). After stabilizing the conditions inside the chamber, 563 the electron beam was turned on for imaging. Videos were recorded at 564 a rate of 50 ns per pixel, with a GSED detector.

Simulation Methods. Self-assembly and disassembly processes of AuNRs were described by hybrid multiscale (MS) modeling for realistic AuNRs, as well as molecular dynamics (MD) simulations for sea smaller AuNR@MUDOL. Different competing interactions act between solvated AuNRs, such as bulk van der Waals (vdW) ro coupling, mean electrostatic coupling, ligand–ligand coupling, etc. The effects associated with long-range interactions between AuNRs are captured by the MS method, while their short-range interactions are better described by the MD method.

574 **Multiscale Modeling.** Using multiscale methods, we calculated 575 bulk vdW coupling energies E_{vdW} (bulk material of AuNRs), mean 576 electrostatic interaction energies E_c (overall AuNR charging), and 577 atomistic ligand–ligand coupling energies $E_{ligand-ligand}$ between pairs of 578 realistic AuNRs (52 × 16 nm) as a function of their separation in 579 different solvents.

The bulk vdW coupling energy, E_{vdW} , between two AuNRs (52 × 581 16 nm) was calculated by the Hamaker summation (1) over their 582 volume elements,

$$E_{\rm vdW} = -\frac{A}{\pi^2} \int \int \frac{1}{|r_1 - r_2|^6} \, \mathrm{d}r_1 \, \mathrm{d}r_2 \tag{1}$$

584 Here A is the Hamaker constant for Au–Au interaction in water (A = 585 1.80 eV), and r_1 and r_2 are the position vectors of volume elements on 586 both NRs.

583

The electrostatic interaction energy, E_{c} , was calculated by summing sea over pairs of charged elements, each taken from one of the considered sea AuNRs, homogeneously distributed on the AuNR surface (modeled sea as a cylinder) with a thickness 0.5 nm (2),

$$E_{\rm c} = \int \int \frac{\rho(r_1)\rho(r_2)}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon |r_1 - r_2|} \,\mathrm{d}r_1 \,\mathrm{d}r_2 \tag{2}$$

Here $\varepsilon = 80$ is the dielectric constant of water, $\rho(r_{1,2})$ is a charge 592 density at the position $r_{1,2}$ and $|r_1-r_2|$ is the distance between both 593 charged elements.

Atomistic Molecular Dynamics Simulations. We have 595 separately simulated the disassembly dynamics in small AuNRs (13 596 × 4 nm) covered with 300 neutral MUDOL molecules and solvated 597 in water (bulk or surface) and glycerol ($400 \times 400 \times 200 \text{ Å}^3$ or 400×598 400 \times 340 Å³ boxes). AuNR@MUDOL were simulated with 599 Nanoscale Molecular Dynamics (NAMD)⁴⁶ in NVT (partially 600 exposed AuNRs, 3 simulations) or NPT (fully submerged AuNRs, 601 5 simulations) ensembles at T = 300, 373, and 423 K, using the 602 Langevin dynamics with a damping constant of $C_{\text{Lang}} = 0.1 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ and a 603 time step of 2 fs. The CHARMM general force field^{50,51} was 604 implemented for the bond, angle, and dihedral parameters of the 605 ligands and solvent molecules. The electrostatic coupling between 606 ions and partially charged atoms (nonbonding interactions) has a 607 cutoff of 1 nm, but the long-range part of this coupling was calculated 608 by the PME method⁵² (under periodic boundary conditions). 609 Nonbonding vdW attraction and steric repulsion between molecules 610 were described by Lennard-Jones (LJ) potentials (3), 611

$$U_{\rm LJ}(r) = \varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{r_{\rm min}}{r} \right)^{12} - 2 \left(\frac{r_{\rm min}}{r} \right)^6 \right]$$
(3) 612

where ε is the minimum (negative) energy of this coupling and r_{\min} is 613 a distance at which $U_{\rm LJ}$ (r_{\min}) has a local minimum, as provided by the 614 CHARMM force field. The LJ potential implemented in NAMD has a 615 typical cutoff distance of 1 nm (within the solvent). 616

We also used the atomistic molecular dynamics simulations to track 617 the free energies derived from coupling of segments in large NRs, 618 including ligand-ligand interactions. Toward this end, we constructed 619 a 5 nm-thick slice from two 52×16 nm (right inset, Figure 5a) 620 AuNRs coated with MUDOL ligands and submerged in either water 621 (300 K) or glycerol (300 and 423 K). The solvent box in the 622 simulation had dimensions of $320 \times 320 \times 50$ Å³. The system was 623 first constructed with a surface distance of 5.4 nm. Then, we applied 624 force on one slice, fixed the other slice, and let them approach each 625 other in short simulations. At the desired surface distances, we saved 626 the systems, froze the slices (bulk material) except ligands, and 627 performed MD simulations (described above) on each system for 628 another 10 ns. We then calculated ligand-ligand coupling free 629 energies between the slices (height = 5 nm, diameter = 16 nm) at 630different surface distances (2.4-5.4 nm) between NRs using solvation 631 free energy calculations with the MMGB-SA method. 53,54 The free 632 energies were averaged over the last 5 ns of the trajectory. 633

Free energies were estimated from separate MMGB-SA calculations 634 for three systems (two separate AuNR@MUDOL and the self- 635 assembled AuNR@MUDOL complex) in the configurations extracted 636 from the MD trajectories of the whole complex in the given solvent. 637 Then, coupling free energies of NRs were calculated from 638

$$\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = G_{\text{GBSA}}(\text{complex}) - G_{\text{GBSA}}(\text{separate NRs1}) - G_{\text{GBSA}}(\text{separate NRs1})$$

Free energies were calculated using NAMD 2.13 package 639 generalized Born implicit solvent model,⁵⁵ with a dielectric constant 640 of the solvent of 80 and 46.5. The nonpolar contribution for each 641 system configuration was calculated in NAMD as a linear function of 642 the solvent-accessible surface area (SASA), determined using a probe 643 radius of 1.5 Å with a surface tension of $\gamma = 0.00542$ kcal/mol Å⁻². 644

ASSOCIATED CONTENT 645

Supporting Information

645 646

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at 647 https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.0c06446. 648

Journal of the American Chemical Society

Supplementary figures and supplementary notes on MD 649 simulations 1-6 (PDF) 650

- Recording from WetSTEM measurements (Movie S1) 651 (MP4)652
- Recording from WetSTEM measurements (Movie S2) 653 (MP4)654
- Recording from WetSTEM measurements (Movie S3) 655 (MP4)656
- Recording from WetSTEM measurements (Movie S4) 657 (MP4)658
- Recording from WetSTEM measurements (Movie S5) 659 (MP4)660
- Recording from WetSTEM measurements (Movie S6) 661 (MP4)662
- Recording from WetSTEM measurements (Movie S7) 663 (MP4)664
- Recording from WetSTEM measurements (Movie S8) 665 (MP4)666
- Video from MD simulations (Movie S9) (MPG) 667
- Video from MD simulations (Movie S10) (MP4) 668
- Recording from WetSTEM measurements (Movie S11) 669 (MP4)670
- Recording from WetSTEM measurements (Movie S12) 671
- (MP4)672

AUTHOR INFORMATION 673

Corresponding Authors 674

Petr Král – Department of Chemistry and Department of 675

Physics, Biopharmaceutical Sciences, and Chemical Engineering, 676 University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, United 677

States; o orcid.org/0000-0003-2992-9027; Email: pkral@ 678 uic.edu

- 679
- Wiktor Lewandowski Laboratory of organic nanomaterials 680 and biomolecules, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Warsaw, 681 Warsaw 02-093, Poland; CIC biomaGUNE, Basque Research 682
- and Technology Alliance (BRTA) and CIBER-BBN, Donostia, 683
- San Sebastián 20014, Spain; @ orcid.org/0000-0002-3503-684
- 2120; Email: wlewandowski@chem.uw.edu.pl 685
- Luis M. Liz-Marzán CIC biomaGUNE, Basque Research and 686 Technology Alliance (BRTA) and CIBER-BBN, Donostia, San
- 687 Sebastián 20014, Spain; Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for 688
- Science, 48013 Bilbao, Spain; o orcid.org/0000-0002-6647-689
- 1353; Email: llizmarzan@cicbiomagune.es 690

691 Authors

- Dorota Grzelak Laboratory of organic nanomaterials and 692 biomolecules, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Warsaw, 693
- Warsaw 02-093, Poland 694
- Piotr Szustakiewicz Laboratory of organic nanomaterials and 695 biomolecules, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Warsaw, 696
- Warsaw 02-093, Poland 697 Christopher Tollan – Electron-Microscopy Laboratory, CIC 698
- nanoGUNE, Basque Research and Technology Alliance 699
- (BRTA), Donostia, San Sebastián 20018, Spain 700
- Sanoj Raj Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at 701 Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, United States 702

703 Complete contact information is available at:

704 https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.0c06446

705 Author Contributions

706 All authors have given approval to the final version of the 707 manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest. 709

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was cosupported by the REINFORCE project 711 (Agreement No. First TEAM2016-2/15) carried out within 712 the First Team program of the Foundation for Polish Science 713 cofinanced by the European Union under the European 714 Regional Development Fund. L.M.L.-M. acknowledges support 715 from the Maria de Maeztu Units of Excellence Program from 716 the Spanish State Research Agency, Grant No. MDM-2017- 717 0720. The work was cosupported by the MOBILITY Plus 718 programme (Agreement No. 1259/MOB/IV/2015/0) fi- 719 nanced by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher 720 Education. 721

REFERENCES

(1) Bodelón, G.; Montes-García, V.; López-Puente, V.; Hill, E. H.; 723 Hamon, C.; Sanz-Ortiz, M. N.; Rodal-Cedeira, S.; Costas, C.; 724 Celiksoy, S.; Pérez-Juste, I.; Scarabelli, L.; La Porta, A.; Pérez-Juste, J.; 725 Pastoriza-Santos, I.; Liz-Marzán, L. M. Detection and Imaging of 726 Quorum Sensing in Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Biofilm Communities 727 by Surface-Enhanced Resonance Raman Scattering. Nat. Mater. 2016, 728 15, 1203-1211. 729

(2) Liu, J.; Guo, J.; Meng, G.; Fan, D. Superstructural Raman 730 Nanosensors with Integrated Dual Functions for Ultrasensitive 731 Detection and Tunable Release of Molecules. Chem. Mater. 2018, 732 30, 5256-5263. 733

(3) Sevenler, D.; Daaboul, G. G.; Ekiz Kanik, F.; Ünlü, N. L.; Ünlü, 734 M. S. Digital Microarrays: Single-Molecule Readout with Interfero- 735 metric Detection of Plasmonic Nanorod Labels. ACS Nano 2018, 12, 736 5880-5887. 737

(4) Dickerson, E. B.; Dreaden, E. C.; Huang, X.; El-Sayed, I. H.; 738 Chu, H.; Pushpanketh, S.; McDonald, J. F.; El-Sayed, M. A. Gold 739 Nanorod Assisted Near-Infrared Plasmonic Photothermal Therapy 740 (PPTT) of Squamous Cell Carcinoma in Mice. Cancer Lett. 2008, 741 269, 57-66. 742

(5) Li, Z.; Huang, P.; Zhang, X.; Lin, J.; Yang, S.; Liu, B.; Gao, F.; Xi, 743 P.; Ren, Q.; Cui, D. RGD-Conjugated Dendrimer-Modified Gold 744 Nanorods for in Vivo Tumor Targeting and Photothermal Therapy. 745 Mol. Pharmaceutics 2010, 7, 94-104. 746

(6) Khalavka, Y.; Becker, J.; Sönnichsen, C. Synthesis of Rod-Shaped 747 Gold Nanorattles with Improved Plasmon Sensitivity and Catalytic 748 Activity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1871-1875. 749

(7) Bai, X.; Gao, Y.; Liu, H. G.; Zheng, L. Synthesis of Amphiphilic 750 Ionic Liquids Terminated Gold Nanorods and Their Superior 751 Catalytic Activity for the Reduction of Nitro Compounds. J. Phys. 752 Chem. C 2009, 113, 17730-17736. 753

(8) Wu, B.; Liu, D.; Mubeen, S.; Chuong, T. T.; Moskovits, M.; 754 Stucky, G. D. Anisotropic Growth of TiO2 onto Gold Nanorods for 755 Plasmon-Enhanced Hydrogen Production from Water Reduction. J. 756 Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 1114-1117. 757

(9) Gu, M.; Zhang, Q.; Lamon, S. Nanomaterials for Optical Data 758 Storage. Nat. Rev. Mater. 2016, 1, 16070. 759

(10) Li, P.; Li, Y.; Zhou, Z. K.; Tang, S.; Yu, X. F.; Xiao, S.; Wu, Z.; 760 Xiao, Q.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, H.; Chu, P. K. Evaporative Self-Assembly of 761 Gold Nanorods into Macroscopic 3D Plasmonic Superlattice Arrays. 762 Adv. Mater. 2016, 28, 2511-2517. 763

(11) Hou, S.; Wen, T.; Zhang, H.; Liu, W.; Hu, X.; Wang, R.; Hu, 764 Z.; Wu, X. Fabrication of Chiral Plasmonic Oligomers Using 765 Cysteine-Modified Gold Nanorods as Monomers. Nano Res. 2014, 766 7, 1699-1705. 767

(12) Hamon, C.; Novikov, S.; Scarabelli, L.; Basabe-Desmonts, L.; 768 Liz-Marzán, L. M. Hierarchical Self-Assembly of Gold Nanoparticles 769 into Patterned Plasmonic Nanostructures. ACS Nano 2014, 8, 770 10694-10703. 771

708

710

722

772 (13) Evans, J. E.; Jungjohann, K. L.; Browning, N. D.; Arslan, I. 773 Controlled Growth of Nanoparticles from Solution with in Situ

774 Liquid Transmission Electron Microscopy. *Nano Lett.* 2011, 11, 775 2809–2813.

776 (14) Ahmad, N.; Wang, G.; Nelayah, J.; Ricolleau, C.; Alloyeau, D. 777 Exploring the Formation of Symmetric Gold Nanostars by Liquid-Cell

778 Transmission Electron Microscopy. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 4194–4201.

779 (15) Zhang, D.; Jin, C.; Tian, H.; Xiong, Y.; Zhang, H.; Qiao, P.;

780 Fan, J.; Zhang, Z.; Li, Z. Y.; Li, J. An: In Situ TEM Study of the 781 Surface Oxidation of Palladium Nanocrystals Assisted by Electron 782 Irradiation. *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9*, 6327–6333.

783 (16) Vadai, M.; Angell, D. K.; Hayee, F.; Sytwu, K.; Dionne, J. A. In-784 Situ Observation of Plasmon-Controlled Photocatalytic Dehydrogen-785 ation of Individual Palladium Nanoparticles. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, *9*, 786 4658.

787 (17) Tan, S. F.; Raj, S.; Bisht, G.; Annadata, H. V.; Nijhuis, C. A.; 788 Král, P.; Mirsaidov, U. Nanoparticle Interactions Guided by Shape-789 Dependent Hydrophobic Forces. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1707077.

790 (18) Woehl, T. J.; Prozorov, T. The Mechanisms for Nanoparticle 791 Surface Diffusion and Chain Self-Assembly Determined from Real-792 Time Nanoscale Kinetics in Liquid. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 793 21261–21269.

794 (19) Liu, Y.; Lin, X. M.; Sun, Y.; Rajh, T. In Situ Visualization of 795 Self-Assembly of Charged Gold Nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 796 **2013**, 135, 3764–3767.

797 (20) Thorkelsson, K.; Bai, P.; Xu, T. Self-Assembly and Applications 798 of Anisotropic Nanomaterials: A Review. *Nano Today* **2015**, *10*, 48– 799 66.

800 (21) Kim, J.; Song, X.; Ji, F.; Luo, B.; Ice, N. F.; Liu, Q.; Zhang, Q.; 801 Chen, Q. Polymorphic Assembly from Beveled Gold Triangular 802 Nanoprisms. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 3270.

803 (22) Wei, W.; Bai, F.; Fan, H. Oriented Gold Nanorod Arrays: Self-804 Assembly and Optoelectronic Applications. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 805 **2019**, *58*, 11956–11966.

806 (23) Sutter, E.; Sutter, P.; Tkachenko, A. V.; Krahne, R.; de Graaf, J.;
807 Arciniegas, M.; Manna, L. In Situ Microscopy of the Self-Assembly of
808 Branched Nanocrystals in Solution. *Nat. Commun.* 2016, *7*, 11213.

809 (24) Kim, J.; Ou, Z.; Jones, M. R.; Song, X.; Chen, Q. Imaging the 810 Polymerization of Multivalent Nanoparticles in Solution. *Nat.* 811 *Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 761.

812 (25) Ou, Z.; Wang, Z.; Luo, B.; Luijten, E.; Chen, Q. Kinetic 813 Pathways of Crystallization at the Nanoscale. *Nat. Mater.* **2020**, *19*, 814 450–455.

815 (26) Chen, Q.; Cho, H.; Manthiram, K.; Yoshida, M.; Ye, X.; 816 Alivisatos, A. P. Interaction Potentials of Anisotropic Nanocrystals 817 from the Trajectory Sampling of Particle Motion Using in Situ Liquid 818 Phase Transmission Electron Microscopy. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2015**, *1*, 33– 819 39.

820 (27) Tan, S. F.; Anand, U.; Mirsaidov, U. Interactions and 821 Attachment Pathways between Functionalized Gold Nanorods. *ACS* 822 *Nano* **2017**, *11*, 1633–1640.

823 (28) Novotný, F.; Wandrol, P.; Proška, J.; Šlouf, M. In Situ 824 WetSTEM Observation of Gold Nanorod Self-Assembly Dynamics in 825 a Drying Colloidal Droplet. *Microsc. Microanal.* **2014**, *20*, 385–393.

26 (29) Liu, M.; Guyot-Sionnest, P. Mechanism of Silver(I)-Assisted
27 Growth of Gold Nanorods and Bipyramids. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005,
282 109, 22192–22200.

829 (30) Gómez-Graña, S.; Hubert, F.; Testard, F.; Guerrero-Martínez, 830 A.; Grillo, I.; Liz-Marzán, L. M.; Spalla, O. Surfactant (Bi)Layers on 831 Gold Nanorods. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 1453–1459.

(31) Alvarez-Puebla, R. A.; Agarwal, A.; Manna, P.; Khanal, B. P.;
833 Aldeanueva-Potel, P.; Carbó-Argibay, E.; Pazos-Pérez, N.; Vigderman,
834 L.; Zubarev, E. R.; Kotov, N. A.; Liz-Marzán, L. M. Gold Nanorods
835 3D-Supercrystals as Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering Spectrosco836 py Substrates for the Rapid Detection of Scrambled Prions. *Proc. Natl.*837 Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2011, 108, 8157–8161.

838 (32) Hamon, C.; Postic, M.; Mazari, E.; Bizien, T.; Dupuis, C.;
839 Even-Hernandez, P.; Jimenez, A.; Courbin, L.; Gosse, C.; Artzner, F.;
840 Marchi-Artzner, V. Three-Dimensional Self-Assembling of Gold

Nanorods with Controlled Macroscopic Shape and Local Smectic B 841 Order. ACS Nano **2012**, 6, 4137–4146. 842

(33) Xie, Y.; Guo, S.; Ji, Y.; Guo, C.; Liu, X.; Chen, Z.; Wu, X.; Liu, 843 Q. Self-Assembly of Gold Nanorods into Symmetric Superlattices 844 Directed by OH-Terminated Hexa(Ethylene Glycol) Alkanethiol. 845 *Langmuir* **2011**, 27, 11394–11400. 846

(34) Jain, P. K.; Eustis, S.; El-Sayed, M. A. Plasmon Coupling in 847 Nanorod Assemblies: Optical Absorption, Discrete Dipole Approx-848 imation Simulation, and Exciton-Coupling Model. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 849 **2006**, *110*, 18243–18253. 850

(35) Tira, C.; Tira, D.; Simon, T.; Astilean, S. Finite-Difference 851 Time-Domain (FDTD) Design of Gold Nanoparticle Chains with 852 Specific Surface Plasmon Resonance. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2014**, *1072*, 137–853 143. 854

(36) Han, B.; Shi, L.; Gao, X.; Guo, J.; Hou, K.; Zheng, Y.; Tang, Z. 855 Ultra-Stable Silica-Coated Chiral Au-Nanorod Assemblies: Core-Shell 856 Nanostructures with Enhanced Chiroptical Properties. *Nano Res.* 857 **2016**, *9*, 451–457. 858

(37) Chinwangso, P.; Lee, H. J.; Jamison, A. C.; Marquez, M. D.; 859 Park, C. S.; Lee, T. R. Structure, Wettability, and Thermal Stability of 860 Organic Thin-Films on Gold Generated from the Molecular Self- 861 Assembly of Unsymmetrical Oligo(Ethylene Glycol) Spiroalkanedi- 862 thiols. *Langmuir* 2017, 33, 1751–1762. 863

(38) Cao, H.; Guo, F.; Chen, Z.; Kong, X. Z. Preparation of 864 Thermoresponsive Polymer Nanogels of Oligo(Ethylene Glycol) 865 Diacrylate-Methacrylic Acid and Their Property Characterization. 866 *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2018**, *13*, 209. 867

(39) Can, A.; Zhang, Q.; Rudolph, T.; Schacher, F. H.; Gohy, J. F.; 868 Schubert, U. S.; Hoogenboom, R. Schizophrenic Thermoresponsive 869 Block Copolymer Micelles Based on LCST and UCST Behavior in 870 Ethanol-Water Mixtures. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2015**, *69*, 460–471. 871

(40) Zorn, S.; Skoda, M. W. A.; Gerlach, A.; Jacobs, R. M. J.; 872 Schreiber, F. On the Stability of Oligo(Ethylene Glycol) (C11EG 873 6OMe) SAMs on Gold: Behavior at Elevated Temperature in Contact 874 with Water. *Langmuir* **2011**, *27*, 2237–2243. 875

(41) Kunstmann-Olsen, C.; Belić, D.; Bradley, D. F.; Grzelczak, M. 876 P.; Brust, M. Humidity-Dependent Reversible Transitions in Gold 877 Nanoparticle Superlattices. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 2970–2980. 878

(42) Kohl, H.; Rose, H.; Schnabl, H. Dose-Rate Effect at Low 879 Temperatures in FBEM and STEM Due to Object-Heating. *Optik* 880 (*Stuttg*). **1981**, *58*, 11–24. 881

(43) White, E. R.; Mecklenburg, M.; Shevitski, B.; Singer, S. B.; 882 Regan, B. C. Charged Nanoparticle Dynamics in Water Induced by 883 Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 884 3695–3698. 885

(44) Udayabhaskararao, T.; Altantzis, T.; Houben, L.; Coronado- 886 Puchau, M.; Langer, J.; Popovitz-Biro, R.; Liz-Marzán, L. M.; Vukovic, 887 L.; Král, P.; Bals, S.; Klajn, R. Tunable Porous Nanoallotropes 888 Prepared by Post-Assembly Etching of Binary Nanoparticle Super-889 lattices. *Science* **2017**, 358, 514–518. 890

(45) Nitka, T. A.; Král, P.; Vuković, L. Configurations of Nanocubes 891 Floating and Clustering on Liquid Surfaces. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **2019**, 892 10, 3592–3597. 893

(46) Phillips, J. C.; Braun, R.; Wang, W.; Gumbart, J.; Tajkhorshid, 894 E.; Villa, E.; Chipot, C.; Skeel, R. D.; Kalé, L.; Schulten, K. Scalable 895 Molecular Dynamics with NAMD. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2005**, *26*, 1781–896 1802. 897

(47) Johnson, P. B.; Christy, R. W. Optical Constatnts for Noble 898 Metals. *Phys. Rev. B* **1972**, *6*, 4370. 899

(48) Wang, M.; Park, C.; Woehl, T. J. Quantifying the Nucleation 900 and Growth Kinetics of Electron Beam Nanochemistry with Liquid 901 Cell Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, 902 30, 7727–7736. 903

(49) Woehl, T. J.; Abellan, P. Defining the Radiation Chemistry 904 during Liquid Cell Electron Microscopy to Enable Visualization of 905 Nanomaterial Growth and Degradation Dynamics. *J. Microsc.* **201**7, 906 265, 135–147. 907

(50) Yu, W.; He, X.; Vanommeslaeghe, K.; MacKerell, A. D. 908 Extension of the CHARMM General Force Field to Sulfonyl- 909 910 Containing Compounds and Its Utility in Biomolecular Simulations. *J.* 911 *Comput. Chem.* **2012**, 33, 2451–2468.

- 912 (51) Allouche, A. Software News and Updates Gabedit A 913 Graphical User Interface for Computational Chemistry Softwares. J. 914 Comput. Chem. **2011**, 32, 174–182.
- 915 (52) Darden, T.; York, D.; Pedersen, L. Particle Mesh Ewald: An N-916 log(N) Method for Ewald Sums in Large Systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* 917 **1993**, *98*, 10089–10092.

918 (53) Homeyer, N.; Gohlke, H. Free Energy Calculations by the 919 Molecular Mechanics Poisson-Boltzmann Surface Area Method. *Mol.* 920 *Inf.* **2012**, *31*, 114–122.

921 (54) Vergara-Jaque, A.; Comer, J.; Monsalve, L.; González-Nilo, F. 922 D.; Sandoval, C. Computationally efficient methodology for atomic-923 level characterization of dendrimer-drug complexes: A comparison of 924 amine- and acetyl-terminated PAMAM. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2013**, *117*, 925 6801–6813.

926 (55) Tanner, D. E.; Chan, K. Y.; Phillips, J. C.; Schulten, K. Parallel 927 Generalized Born Implicit Solvent Calculations with NAMD. *J. Chem.* 928 *Theory Comput.* **2011**, *7*, 3635–3642.